

# Guide to Muslims



# Guide to Muslims

Salih Çengel

2019 translated from the original Dutch title 'Gids voor moslims'  
(2017)

ISBN: 9789402188387

© Salih Çengel

## Content

Introduction 7

- 1 The hadith and sunnah, just as unreliable as the Bible 11
- 2 Headscarf, women's clothing = anti-Islam 17
- 3 Evolution and the creation of man 25
- 4 Meat, sacrifice 39
- 5 Sexual orientation 43
- 6 Circumcision 45
- 7 Interest 47
- 8 Celebrating Christmas and new year, birth of Jesus 49
- 9 Economy and the environment 51
- 10 No friends with pagans, Christians and Jews? 59
- 11 Jihad 63
- 12 Marrying multiple women 65
- 13 Dogs, pigs, images, sculptures 67
- 14 Symbol of Islam, the crescent moon? 69
- 15 Punishment of apostasy and extra marital sex 71
- 16 Second coming of Jesus, coming of Mahdi and Dajjal 73
- 17 Child weddings 79
- 18 Smaller topics 81
- 19 Atheists and their criticism of science in the Quran 89

Epilogue and ode to God 99



## Introduction

As a child I read the Koran for the first time. I read it right away. Almost all of the verses I mentioned in this book, I then interpreted, almost 30 years ago, exactly the same as now. It was like coming home to the living room of my own house, nothing was strange. But I noticed that others experienced and interpreted religion very differently. Adults but also young people. Muslims and non-Muslims. Short educated but also smart university students. When I think back now, I think it's because people are traditional. Thinking is secondary to what you have learned from others - "elderly," wise, "culture. Of course I also got something, but thinking for myself was more important to me.

Unfortunately admitting your wrong hurts and so many continue to believe in nonsense.

Some 30 years later I still encounter such cases. In practice, on social media and on websites. Young smart students who are prisoners of their indoctrinated brain; great examples of not being able to think freely and cognitive dissonance.

If these are the best in Islam, in prosperous countries, then you realize in what a terrible situation the less intelligent part of the Muslims is. It is hopeless, almost to cry.

Apart from exceptions, I saw no progress in the minds of most Muslims in the last 30 years - recently I saw a handsome, smart and eloquent young man defend the hadith of the earth who is resting on a whale for an enthusiastic crowd - It hurts and what are you doing then? ... As a good Muslim you then proclaim the right message, just like the chosen messengers. You put in material and immaterial things for the good. For most Muslims today do not profess Islam for a substantial part, but a made-up religion. What are you saying? I say that the Muslims for a large part profess to a fabricated religion. Listen carefully; 14 centuries ago, after 7 centuries, God once again chooses a messenger. Through an angel the messenger receives the Quran and spreads His word. The religion is perfected.

But 200 - 300 years to 800 years later after the last messenger some people think of putting the life of the messenger on paper. This will be the hadith and sunnah. Say for yourself, how reliable can this be? Everyone knows that oral pass a long in a community is already changed within one day and changes the origin word, let alone what happens in centuries! Friend and enemy will confirm this. Further in the book we will read further that the hadith and sunnah are often based on the fantasies of a bunch of liars and profiteers.

That is 1. But the exposures do not stay with the hadith, also the explanation of the Qur'anic verses have been explained in the past too often from the classical point of view of the man.

In summary, this is the religion that the Muslims adhere to; stories written from 200 to 800 years after the death of the last great messenger and misinterpretations of the Qur'anic verses or what is still a bigger problem, the non-reading of the Qur'an. A survey conducted by the religious affairs of Turkey showed that 92% of the population had never read a Koran, while the other almost 8% never read the Qur'an in their own language!

Of course, most Muslims are just people like you and me who want nothing more than happiness and a good servant of God. They are of good will, the intention is good and many parts of the religion will be well respected. But remember, the intention of pious Christians who consider Jesus as the son of God is also good, while that belief (the trinity), that Jesus is the son of God, is one of the greatest sins.

I would like to say that I am not a total denier of the hadith like the other reformists. I note the saying "There is no smoke without a fire". I explain this further in the book.

Whereas other modernists mainly specialize in debunking the hadith, I also discuss other subjects to give an overall picture; economics and the environment which also contain references in the Quran. Very important topics!

I can already hear the atheist laughing, "What do we hear now? Besides science, there is now also economy and environment in the



Quran? "

But the laughter will end when you read the relevant chapters.

My goal, my book is to be a guide, a guide to the truth, to the Koran, a better planet and beyond.

In addition to the dogmas that have to be overthrown, I want to show the grandeur and truth of the Qur'an through my insights so that the Muslims understand that I am on the right side and also to show that I do not want to change the religion.

This book is for all Muslims but especially for the leaders, so that the message can be spread from the top layers. It is also true that non-Muslim and non-Islamic countries and policy makers can use this book as a guide in their political and social approach to the Muslim community in their country.

Salih Çengel, April 2017



## The hadith and sunnah, just as unreliable as the Bible

Would you find a person (like the hadith) credible who tells between the truths also lies?

Current Muslimism is largely based on the hadith. But what are the hadith really?

The last prophet will testify in this way on the Day of Judgment:

**Quran 25:30**

*And the messenger says "My Lord! My people have completely abandoned the Koran. "*

The real reason why the Prophet will say this on that day we do not know for sure, but everyone can guess what it could be. Besides that many people turn their backs on religion and become atheists, the other reason could be that the vast majority of Muslims profess to a fabricated religion, the hadith, instead of the religion of the Qur'an.

The hadith were recorded and collected about 200 - 300 years after the Prophet. Centuries later, these practices of narrative writing were again repeated by other hadith collectors.

Practice tells us that there have been many scammers now and in history who have invented things for their own gain. Of course, there will certainly be good and right things in the hadith, but such good and right things are also in the Bible. But that does not make the Bible reliable. Moreover, those 'good' (sahih) hadith are direct copies of the ayat from the Quran!

A good guideline regarding the hadith is to think logically, to investigate and test against the Qur'an. Be very careful with accepting things from the hadith because the majority defies each logic and is NOT in accordance with the Qur'an, in fact, much hadith is against the Quran.

I will give some traditions as an example to show what nonsense they

often exhibit (laughter is allowed):

- Buhari 3/51: The earth's surface rests on the back of a fish. Those who will go into paradise will first eat the liver of this fish.

(This is not the case in the Quran anywhere)

- Muslim: In hell, the choice of an unbeliever will be as great as the Uhud mountain and the thickness of his skin is as large as a distance of 3 days.

- Hanbel 5/243: God has met me and we have shaken hands.

- Enes: We could not find water. Then came from the fingers of the Prophet water and 300 men could wash and drink.

- Tirmizi: The Prophet married the 9-year-old Aysa.

(This sounds more like a pedophile who wants to convince the people in this way and wants to talk about his plan.)

- Buhari: The Prophet married the 6-year-old Aysa.

Buhari, also sounds like a pedophile who lies. And which of the two is right? Perhaps one pedo had a 9-year-old in mind and the other a 6-year-old?

- The 72 virgins for martyrs: another fairy tale that, of course, is not in the Qur'an. By now, this fairytale is more often mentioned by Westerners as part of Islam which it certainly is not!

- Camel urine is healthy to drink: another fairy tale. The 'healthy' characteristics were also confirmed by a 'scientific' study at an Arab university. The camel urine cans factory was recently blessed by a Turkish clergyman named 'Cubbeli' Ahmet Hodja. Well, cheers!

- The fly in the food: You fall in amazement in amazement reading the quatsch. If a fly falls into your food you have to submerge the fly once again, because one wing contains poison and the other ... yes, sure, anti-poison!

And now two contradictions:

- Tirmizi K. Ilm 11: We asked the messenger of God if we could write his words; we did not get permission.
- El Hatib Takyid 33: When we were writing traditions, the Prophet came to us and asked "What are you writing?" We replied "Events we notice in you." The Prophet said "Do you want a different book than the book from God? The nations for you have been removed from the right path because they wrote a book next to the book of God. "

So the prophet has some prohibitions but the authors have not listened.

Anyone who can think a little logically sees that the hadith writers are the greatest disbelievers who often write for their own gain or simply take over popular superstition.

The best part is that the hadith supporters regarded the people who take the Quran as a guide as Quranists or Quran followers. Madness! So if you follow the Quran, you are not on the right path?

The Quran followers, not to be confused with ignorant and confused people and groups that engage in terrorism or unlawful practices, cultures or wars. Because those confused people do not follow the Quran at all, in fact, they do not know anything about the Qur'an. They follow their lusts and instincts and their belief is the fabricated religion based on the hadith, culture and superstition. If it is already based on that. Usually their actions are based on foreign powers in their country or they are psychologically not in order.

That the hadithlovers call the Quran followers 'Quran followers' is not strange. These people have been brought up and raised in a certain way for years between people and organizations that they fully trusted. The observation of your mistake is so extremely painful, your whole life was a lie, that they still continue to believe in the lies. In the Qur'an this is described as a kind of arrogance, in psychology as cognitive dissonance. Sometimes you have to put yourself over your pride and admit your mistakes.

In addition, most hadith followers are also hadith deniers. How is this possible? Because these hadith followers also see that most hadith are nonsense. That is why they say that they follow the 'Sahih hadith', the true hadith. So they do not believe the many thousands of hadith but a few hundred of them ...! Roughly they only believe in 10% of their religion :) Here again the remark that many sahih hadith are simply copies of ayat from the Qur'an, so nothing remains of the hadith!

The made-up religion based on the traditions is the corn on the mill of the Islam haters. The knife against Islam cuts so on two sides. In the West both right and left work consciously and unconsciously against Islam. Right-wing Islam haters breed aversion to Muslims because of the absurdly fabricated practices and left-wing empathic 'Islam' cuddlers harm the true religion by saying that society must respect the 'Muslims', as a result of which you get the Muslims to be disadvantaged. Just wait and see. These left-wing Western empathic 'Islam' huggers will promote wearing items such as headscarves and ban images of pigs in books, with the excuse that 'we' (the Westerners) should respect different people, beliefs and cultures. The peak of this nonsense is the headscarf of a certain brand that has a mythical Greek goddess as a symbol.

The following ayat from the Quran applies well to the hadith followers:

*Qur'an 2:17 To them: when We say "Follow what God has sent down" they say "No, we follow the path of our forefathers". But what if their forefathers understood nothing and did not find the right path?*

*Qur'an 2:113 Although most read the book (the Torah and Bible), the Jews say "The Christians are not on the right path." The Christians say "The Jews are not on the right path." Those who do not know the book (the Quran) say exactly the same (the pagans, Jews and Christians). God will make judgment on the topics on which they are divided on the day of judgment.*

So, hadith followers, Sunnis, Shiites, Alevis, and many other movements. Take a good look at your religion and return to the Quran. There is 1 religion and 1 book; Islam and the Qur'an, become 1. In the

Qur'an is not a word that you have to be a Sunni or Shiite.

Imams, teachers, leaders: do not tell lies in books, in conferences and in TV programs. Do just like the prophet; call the people up to the Quran and call them to thinking out of the box.

Jews, Christians, Hindus, Buddhists and all other religions and believers ... think. Are you not victims of your own 'hadith'?

Perhaps some will note cleverly that the Qur'an says that we should listen to the prophet. They continue with "If even God says we have to follow the prophet then that is a confirmation that we have to carry out the sunnah (actions of the prophet)." That is an interesting remark, but still a fallacy. Because 1. The sunnah that people are referring to are written hundreds of years later after the death of the messenger. Everyone understands that this sunnah can never be completely reliable. And more importantly, 2. I would like to do the sunnah but in the Quran there is nothing about the Sunnah of the Prophet! Nothing. In the Qur'an, the prophet refers only to the ... Qur'an! The Quran is complete. You can not follow the prophet because he is no longer among us.

A simple logical analysis so hard to realize for even Muslims and Muslim students at the university. In discussions about the enigmatic missing sunnah in the Quran, these students kept hammering on the sunnah! This is not a sign of students' lacking intelligence but more a sign of how ingrained the brainwashing is. Just like the student's example of a little thirty years ago in the introduction of the book.

But what do we do? All the actions we have learned throw overboard? No, logically, skeptically thinking about the Koran is the solution. But at all actions! What you think is something very pious could be a sinful or superfluous / nonsensical act. Although I am convinced that during history actions are better passed than words, religion may have gone different than in architecture for example.

How? I will give some examples.

**Actions:**

Building houses, making streets, working metal. The basis of these

things has never changed at the expense. Other things have changed, but only for the good of the process. If you compare this with our religion you can, for example, look at the way of prayer. The way of praying has ever been done by the prophet and people have continued to imitate it. Just like building houses, there may have been (superfluous) additions besides the base by people who wanted to be 'more pious than the pope'.

Incidentally, the way of praying, the washing the prayer and the times of prayer WELL described in the Quran. I say this because a billion calls it is not in the Qur'an. Recently I was in a mosque and an imam complained that people had left the hadith and that the people say that the Quran is enough. He was a bit angry and shouted indignantly how in heaven's name the prayers had to learn if we did not learn from the prophet.

This is an incredible condition, an imam in the service of the government that has studied for years to become an imam but who does not know what is in the Qur'an!

**Words:**

There were once (and still) wolves. This really happened. Later, fairy tales about this arose. For example Red Riding Hood and the big bad wolf... In the past, it was thought that some women were witches. This really happened. Later fairy tales such as those of Hansel and Gretel were created. This example of words, fairy tales, can be compared with the hadith. Something happened in the past but what? There will be something of a truth in the story, but nothing more, it is not a fact.



## Headscarf, women's clothing = anti-Islam

Headscarf, Burqa, Niqab

The headscarf for women is perhaps the biggest misunderstanding in the Islamic world.

You will see them once, on the street, in the store or even on the beach - the typical 'Islamic' couple. The woman with at least a headscarf and often in a large black suit. On the beach they are faithfully waiting for their husbands and children in the same clothes. The man will of course walk without a headscarf, with short sleeves, tight pants or even shorts. And in the water the man is of course topless or has a shirt on.

Is this how God intended it? How unfair is that?

In very hot countries, men also do have a headscarf to protect the head and this, warm climate / headscarf, is exactly the origin of the misunderstanding.

Nowhere in the Koran states that the hair or the head of a woman must be covered and yet virtually all Muslims, one billion (!), Claim that it is in the Koran and / or that it belongs to the religion. Here, too, people often refer to the unreliable hadith.

We will check where this misunderstanding comes from, look for the origin and discuss the ayat where this would be.

## Desert climate

Islam or the Prophet Muhammad did not introduce the veil or headscarf. It was not suddenly from one day to the next. It existed before Islam and before the prophet. The infidels wore it. Husband and wife.

Anyone who lives in a warm country where the temperatures get very high knows that the sun can be an enemy of man. In the hottest areas where people live, temperatures of up to 50° C / 122 F even occur! This can be very harmful for all people, but especially for white and

light-tinted people. Logically, people wear headgear. Almost anywhere in the world where there is insufficient shelter from trees, people wear headgear against the sun. In the wild west, cowboys wore hats for protection against the sun. In the deserts, men and women wear different types of headgear against the sun and it also works as a filter against sand that otherwise easily enters eyes, ears and airways. This is the origin of head and face coverage. Pure functionality. In Europe, all kinds of head covering used to be weared in the past. Against the cold, cold wind and rain. Nowadays people still wear head covering clothing such as a cap, hat or scarf, or hat as a measure against sun, cold, as a fashion accessory or during the preparation of food to prevent hair from falling into the food. But the need for head covering has become less because people live in better sheltered houses and travel under a roof in cars or trains.

## Fashion and dress behavior other countries and historically

Often, people do not move in the situation of the past, on other continents and what was normal there and then. Traditions, culture and technology were decisive. Previously clothing was not available in abundance.

What is completely normal in one country can be taboo in the other country. For example, there are still tribes in America, Africa and Asia where the men and women walk around naked or almost naked. For them, this is the most normal thing in the world. In the Arabia of the time, women of some tribes walked topless or with a deep neckline. They did not know better and so they grew up. Because everyone was walking around like that and one saw naked breasts day after day, people did not get hot under the collar. Now in northern (Islamic) countries we are not used to it and the Muslims who believe in headscarves can not imagine that it was normal to be topless. They also state that in the ayat 31 of surah 24 it says that "You can not show your jewelry to people except other women, your father and children of a certain age" and say that it is absurd that jewelery then the breasts are meant and that it really is about the hair. No, it's not about the hair.

## What does the Qur'an say?

Usually people focus on the surah **24:31** and especially men focus on this. And when they focus on this, they mainly focus on the (head) scarf part. Wait! Here one makes a mistake. To understand everything properly, you must also read and understand Surah **24:30** and then Surah **24:31** also read the section outside the head (scarf).

**24:30**, is about men! It states that the men must protect their looks from sin. So, if the men had to protect their eyes from sins, it automatically means that the women were not packed at the time like they were now, there was something to see.

Then begins **24:31**, which is for women, with the same. That they must protect their looks from sin. So the people were not completely wrapped up at the time like in some 'Islamic countries' these days, otherwise there would be no sin! But above all, however women are dressed, men must behave and protect their eyes from sin.

But after that, what does the Quran say of which most Muslims say that the headscarf commandment is in the Koran? We will look further at the two ayat where it should stand. The first, surah 24 ayat 31: this states that the women must cover their bosom with their clothing: in the first place it does not state that the hair should be covered, however much one wants to read and believe it... As much as women, who say that they have voluntarily opted for the headscarf, want to read this. It just is not there! The translation of the Arabic word is veil or cover. But even if there is a headscarf, it does not state that the head or hair should be covered. It just is not there! It says only that the clothes, a standard garment, the bosom or décolleté must be covered. You would be able to translate it to current clothing standards if your shirt is higher up. A logical line of thought. We all know what the effect of an open cleavage on men is.

Furthermore, it says in this surah that they should not show their jewelry except what is visible (this is not a license to wear super tight clothing). Someone who thinks logically understands that if your bosom is covered with the veil they will not show more than what is

visible, namely the curves. It is therefore the pure expose which should not be exhibited, not to hide the curves which is almost impossible to hide.

The confirmation that it is about the breasts and not about the hair is completely clear in the ayat. It says here that you do not have to hit your feet to make the jewel that you hide stand out. Now I ask you, what is striking when you hit your feet, especially in a bra-free era? Of course, the breasts. Suppose it was about the wrapped hair, would it dance up and down and stand out when you stomped your feet?

Then there are people who claim that with 'the piece of jewelry' a sound-making decoration was meant for the ankles. But there is no logic in that statement. So you were not allowed to hit your feet too hard to prevent the decoration, which was meant to be ornamental and meant to be seen, to be concealed, not to be noticed. Good ... of course ...

We continue with the second ayat where supporters of the headscarf are appealing, Surah 33 Ayat 59. It says here that the women must wear their outer garment when they go outside so that they become known as believers. I can be brief about it, it still does not say that the hair should be covered. At most you can tell that you were dressed more freely in the house than outside. This is also normal at temperatures of up to 50 ° C/ 122 F! It was then easy to go out half-naked quickly. So, the Koran states, that is not good, take your outerwear.

If the covering of hairs were so important, would God not mention this more clearly and more often? Like the story of Moses and the Pharaoh repeated many times in different ways from the beginning to the end of the Quran? Or like the eternal paradise and the eternal hell that are repeated almost in every Surah? Would not one even start thinking instead of changing the word of God?

Everyone's choice of clothing can enjoy respect, women are free for what to wear, but do not say that a headscarf is obligatory by God. That is a lie.

## Is a woman with a headscarf less (sexually) attractive?

A beautiful woman with a headscarf is still as much (sexually) attractive as a beautiful woman without headscarf. An unattractive woman with headscarf is still as much (sexually) unattractive as an unattractive woman without a headscarf. The face in combination with the eyes and the female forms of the body determine (sexual) attraction. Not the hair, the hair only completes the picture. If a chimpanzee had beautiful human hair, would it be attractive to people?

**Headscarves, burqa, and niqab** are anti-Islamic and anti-human garments. Because for a correct choice of partner and reproduction it is important that people can distinguish each other's body shapes somewhat. God has made the first people beautiful and made love between them. These are not fabrications of me, no, they are the words of God. Read the following 3 ayat:

The ayat of the creation of the first people:

In **30:21** God says that "He has made pairs and has caused love and compassion between them". Read well, **LOVE**.

In **32: 7** God says that He, "Everything He has created has made beautiful". Read well, **BEAUTIFUL**.

In **64: 3** God says that He "has shaped man and **He has made our forms beautiful**". Read well!

In **33:52** God says that the Prophet Muhammad "may not take new women even if the beauty of these women pleases him." Watch and read well. What stands out? In the time of the Prophet, the beauty of the women in Arabia could be well perceived and now that is no longer the case in 'Islamic countries' such as Saudi Arabia and Afghanistan. Do you see and understand how far the Muslims have gone astray? Compare the partnering in these backward Muslim countries with the ayat in the Qur'an.

The Black burqa or niqab are also proof that Muslims do not want to think for themselves but do so indiscriminately because their ancestors did so or because it is tradition. Black compared to other colors, causes the sun rays converts more effectively in energy - heat - and the person in question gets it even warmer and starts sweating more. Pure woman abuse. The black suit is based on a hadith, a tradition that concerns a religious woman wearing a black suit. The hadith in turn are untrustworthy and a mix of the hadith with the Jewish culture, which culture is in turn based on their false unreliable 'hadith'. So Muslims in long black long robes, you use an orthodox Jewish expression of belief. With this I do not want to accuse or belittle the orthodox Jews, because they are also victims of their own false defendants. It is logical that wearing other colors is not a sin. Or does God put a command in the Qur'an on the color black and a ban on other colors? No. Would not one start thinking about what the Koran prescribes?

Returning to the headscarf, we can say that hair itself is not a sexual part of a person like the genitals or the forms of man and woman.

- Hair is more a complementary something that gives a subliminal signal that someone is healthy and normal.
- Not sick.
- No baby.
- is not an elderly person.
- As five fingers on one hand is more attractive than 4 or 6 fingers.
- Or that a person who walks normally is more attractive than someone who is limping.
- If hairs were something sexual like a penis, vagina, buttocks or breasts, the men should have covered their hair too.

One more thing. There are fanatic and semi-intellectual Muslims who then state that even the Christian nuns cover their heads! This is a weak but, above all, wrong argument. These nuns equate Jesus with God and the holy spirit (whoever that may be). They also say that Jesus is the son of God and Mary is the mother of God. They also carry a cross.

The Christian nuns wear headgear because of 2 things. One is the

verse in the Bible that states that a woman without head covering may not pray. But that's nonsense, God can still look through the head covering. Second, but above all, it is based on the religion of the medieval desert peoples, of whom the Christians have taken over some customs.

Then the Muslims say, "Look, even Jewish women wear a headscarf." But the reason that married (orthodox) Jewish women wearing a headscarf is that men can see that the woman in question is married. But it is no coincidence of course that it also applies to them only to women and not to men. In addition, many of these orthodox women shave their heads and wear a wig so that they do not have to wear a headscarf. If you think logically, you see that it is completely disturbed. These people are also victims of their own 'hadith'. God is not difficult, the people themselves invent everything and make it difficult for themselves.

Everyone must know for themselves what kind of clothes they wear. Whether you are wearing a headscarf or dressed as a clown, do not say that this is a prescription from God. Do not write false words to God that He did not say.

To conclude, another example from the Quran that shows that clothing is not the determining factor but your behavior; In Sura 7:22, Adam and Eve begin to cover their bodies with leaves after Satan has deceived them. Then God says in 7:26 "" Shall I tell you what better clothing is? Piety". So no matter how someone is dressed, you behave. Have respect.





## Evolution and the creation of man

The theory of evolution is not in conflict with the Koran

When it comes to evolution, there are currently two groups of Muslims. A small group of intellectuals consisting of perhaps a few hundred people. They have studied evolution theory and the Qur'an. The other group, hundreds of millions, have not studied the Quran. They think they are Muslim but their Muslimism is mainly based on traditions, elementary school and the hadith. For them it is absurd to believe that a human originates from the ape (in their minds the current chimpanzee). Apart from the fact that evolution does not say that man descends from the ape, the theory of evolution is not just about man. It's about all life forms over the past billions of years.

Cognitive dissonance or arrogance (that is what it actually comes down to), as it is called in the Qur'an, also plays a major role in denying the evolution theory.

The theory of evolution is not more wonderful than the re-creation of man after death. For the atheists, the re-creation of man after death is no more miraculous than evolution.

For the educated, traditional, hadithmoslims: If you look at the people around the world you see many variations on humans. You have Africans, Asians, Caucasians. In addition, you also have many variations on these three main models. Now I ask the deniers of evolution, where were all these variations when God created Adam and Eve? According to them, humanity was created at once and never changed again? Where were the Africans, Asians and Caucasians back then? Where were all the colors of skin, hair and eyes?

For the deniers of evolution, I have the same question in a different form. There are currently about 160 different languages in the world. In addition, there are hundreds of disappeared languages. Did Adam and Eve speak hundreds of languages at the beginning?

These examples are proof that new things can arise. Shapes, languages, colors, behavior and other properties.

You can see examples of changing forms everywhere in nature. It is not something impossible. Look at how life forms go through several stages in a few weeks. A caterpillar becomes a butterfly, a ladybug from egg to larva to pupa to beetle. This is of course not evolution, but it shows that changing forms is not that special.

The following ayat endorses my examples:

**30:22** *"The creation of the heavens and the earth and your various languages and colors is a sign of Him. There are undoubtedly significant signs for those who have knowledge. "*

The Qur'an says it yourself; "Different languages and colors." The only thing you can derive from this is the most conclusive theory of today, evolution. There has simply evolved, adapted to the circumstances.

Outside of some enlightened people, most Muslims and Muslim scholars such as (pseudonym) Harun Yahya (Adnan Oktar) and Dr. Zakir Naik do not believe in evolution. Without really studying it and without having studied the Qur'an, they reject it. That is the problem. Not studying something and yet rejecting it in advance because it does not fit cognitively.

Sometimes it is difficult to admit you wrong.

But to admit your mistake is not a shame!

Well, for God nothing is impossible. He can accomplish something in an instant or take millions of years with the evolution instrument. Evolution / based on chance. What is coincidence for us can be an exact tool for God. Coincidence can be relative, just like time. Time is relative. As God states, a day can sometimes take a thousand years or fifty thousand years. God can create everything that lives in an original state - such as orthodox Muslims, orthodox Christians and Scientology members believe - after which a degeneration and multiplication of species has occurred. That could be but the evolutionary evidence contradicts this. But God can also, more likely, have gradually created everything from a single-celled through millions of millions through

natural selection. The evolution method can be a tool of God to accomplish something.

I am going to show you step by step the ayat who introduce to the link with evolution.

**3:59** *"For God, the condition of Jesus is the same as that of Adam. God created him from earth. "*

Note this ayat. This gives a very important hint to the creation, the creation of the first human. Muslims believe that the first human was created directly from earth to human. But in the ayat, God says that the creation of Jesus went the same way as Adam's! This is a very interesting indication. We know of Jesus that he had a mother (created without a father through a possible form of parthenogenesis, asexual reproduction or through a possible form of hermaphroditism) and therefore not directly created from earth, apart from the fact that God could. I consciously say possible form of parthenogenesis because in parthenogenesis descendants are only female and Jesus was a man, in the Quran "Son of Mary" is often repeated. There is also the possibility that Mary was a hermaphrodite.

- Please note that this is a very comprehensive topic that I will keep short -
  - Parthenogenesis, real hermaphrodites such as snails, ambiguous who cannot themselves fertilize / dual-sexed who can fertilize themselves
  - hermaphrodites as an abnormality, abnormal hermaphrodites in multiple forms etc -.

But what matters is that God keeps the ayat compact because otherwise the Quran would have become unmanageably thick. God controls all forms of multiplication as He puts it in the Quran.

You don't always have to take what is in the ayat literally. Yes, Adam, if Jesus were created from earth, from the resources of this planet. But why should God set the example of Jesus and not of any other person? The difference with the other people and Jesus is that other people have a father and Jesus does not. If we elaborate on this logic, we can carefully conclude that Adam did not have a father, but did have a mother! It is possible that Adam had a hominid

mother who was not yet labeled as a human being at that time. That hominid mother could have been 1 of the hominids that originated via the evolutionary pathways on earth. The new man Adam, the first to be labeled as a human, subsequently spread the new additions of genes through his children. His soul, breathed in by God, was like a flame, spreading into the offspring. I am not saying that this is the truth but this seems to be a very plausible explanation for the emergence of modern man. This view is brilliant and an ultimate - possible - explanation and connection between religion and science, between the transitional forms, but above all the biological and behavioral similarities between humans and animals. It is simply an undeniable fact that people, like mammals, have a lung, heart and limbs, that people, like fish, have two hemispheres, that humans and animals often have the same behavioral characteristics (!) And so on.

- The Turkish double Nobel Prize winner Dr. Zeki Bayraktar from the medical world states that the mother of Jesus, Mary, was a hermaphrodite. That is how he explains the conception of Jesus. It is quite possible ... through Divine intervention. There are things that also contradict this; What is contradictory is that A. hermaphrodites cannot fertilize themselves as a deviation. B. Hermaphrodite as an abnormality is not hereditary (because it is not fertile, trait is not passed on) and perhaps the abnormality is caused by environmental pollution, disruption of hormones, etc. So the necessary questions can be asked whether there were then also hermaphrodites due to abnormality. C. The Koran states that Jesus was created without a father. But literally a hermaphrodite is both father and mother. Some hermaphrodites have, in addition to a testicle and ova, even a penis. So given A, B and C, it doesn't make sense that Maria is a hermaphrodite. Also in the light of my theory that Adam would be the first human being, it would go wrong if I would elaborate on the theory of Dr. Bayraktar, namely the hermaphroditic mother of Jesus / hermaphroditic mother of Adam (For creation Jesus = creation Adam, a possibility). If Adam's mother were also a hermaphrodite, she would not have been able to reproduce because deviant hermaphrodites (not ambiguous like the snail) are not fertile. But ... I said earlier that there are things that confirm this:

A. The most important thing is Surah **3:36**. Here stands,

*When she gave birth to her, she said, "My Lord, I gave birth to a girl." - Allah knew best what she had given birth to; the masculine is not like the feminine - "I have called her Mary and I pray to protect her and her offspring from the cursed Satan."*

See, this is very strange! Aram's wife is under the assumption that she is a girl because the child apparently has external female sexual characteristics. But God answers this - almost negatively - that He knows best what she has given birth and says the masculine is not like the feminine. This makes you think ... wonderful.

**16:8** *"We have created the horses, mules, and donkeys as mounts and as ornamental. God now creates what you do not yet know. "*

God calls animals that look alike but that have a different form. Striking is also the order of gradually changing shape. This has been thought about. Of course, God may have meant carriages from the future such as cars and bicycles (which he also means) which he would create in the future - In the end everything is the work of God, He has created us and with us, what we make (the tools, means of transport). Given that the camel is not mentioned which at the time was the most important transport animal in the desert, we can say that this ayat can also aim for evolution. The evolution in this world and in the next world as you can read in **29-20**.

**20:55** *"We have created you from that; we will let you return to that again "*

With the word "that" the earth elements are meant. God says that we are made of earth, but we all have a father and a mother. We did not just come out of the earth.

**24:45** *"God has created all life-bearers from water. Some crawl on their stomachs, some walk on two legs, some on four legs ... God creates what he wants. God can do everything. "*

If you pay attention, you notice that God states that all life of water has been created. In addition to being proof of the Divine origin of the Qur'an, because people probably did not know that the human body consists of approximately 60% to 70% water, it is also striking that the ayat has similarities with the theory of evolution that life first in water arose in simple forms and later landed. First in simple creeping form then more supportive on legs. Notice the gradual transition in the ayat!

*29-19 "Have you not seen how God started creating, later repeating this? Undoubtedly this is easy for God. "*

*29-20 "Say: Travel around on earth so that you see how God started creating in the beginning. God will then create the hereafter. God can do everything. "*

This is very impressive. In the two ayat, God invites you to investigate and assumes that one has taken notice of the species that have lived and lived. "How God started creating" someone with some intelligence can not ignore it: this is evolution!

*39:6 "God created you with one breath and later his companion. He has made **8 pairs of the animals** for you. He creates you in the bellies of your mothers, one creation after another in triple darkness. That is God, your Lord. He has the rule. There is no deity other than He. How can you let yourself be scattered like this?*

In this ayat, God is talking about the creation of man. The 8 pairs of animals in the ayat are translated as animals, but that word is used for all living people, so 8 human beings may have been. Why should God talk about sheep, goats, camels and cattle as the Quran explains when talking about the creation of man? Does not sound logical. I think it is quite possible that 8 human-like partners (such as from the homo breed) are meant here.

*42:11 "He created the heavens and the ground out of nothing. He has created pairs of you, he has created pairs of animals. So He has allowed you to multiply. There is nothing that resembles Him. "*

The (main) way of reproduction namely the male / female system.

**45:4** *"In your creation and the life that he has spread on the surface, for a believing society there are undoubtedly signs of this."*

Those 'signs', for a thinking person this is the theory of evolution. What other sign should one see?

**30:22** *"The creation of the heavens and the earth and your various languages and colors is a sign of Him. There are undoubtedly significant signs for those who have knowledge. "*

A repetition of the intro of this chapter but this is a very important reference to the theory of evolution. In the beginning of humanity there was only one language (or did Adam and Eve and their children already have about a hundred languages?), But you see, there are over a hundred languages in the world today. These languages have all evolved from 1 language. Adam and Eve did not speak hundreds of different languages. The colors and properties of the people have also evolved. Or did God create hundreds of people in different colors, shapes and qualities? No. Or did Adam and Eve have all the colors of shades that people now have? Also no. It is no coincidence that the example of the different languages is mentioned in 1 sentence with the different colors of the people. At the end of this chapter I would like to elaborate on this ayat because this item is very important for Islam and the world.

**51:49** *"He has created everything in pairs."*

This ayat is a sign of the Divine origin of the Qur'an. Could people then know that all plants and animals were created in pairs? Does not seem to me. For the people who say that bacteria multiply through cell division; yes, that is true, but they also sometimes propagate in the sexual way.

**52:35** *"Or are they created without any creator? Or are they the creators? "*

**52:36** *"Or did they create the heavens and the surface? No! They will not understand and believe it."*

These two ayat are overwhelming and are the proof of the Divine of the Koran! They are not simple words. These are destructive words against modern atheists. Many most intellectual prominent atheist scientists do not believe in God and believe that life happened by itself. The rebuttal of God is against the words "coincidental" and "self-evident" of the atheists who believe that both the lifeless universe and life have arisen automatically and / or accidentally.

I would like to point out a very important detail that usually does not pay attention to but is an important indication for an intelligent creation; a lot of attention has been paid to the evolution of the past billions of years. But let's go back to the very first life here on earth or the very first life in the universe. THAT life must already have been given the base program to stay Alive. This characteristic is and was the most important program rule of life (you can now see that in the vicious circle of survival, breed to survive, survive to breed). Apart from all (developed) evolutionary characteristics, life must have had the standard feature of 'Staying Alive' at the first moment. And THIS is the most important indication that there is an intelligent creation behind life. I can believe that without a creator coincidental life can occur, but I can not believe that coincidental life can arise that also has ALLREADY the base program rule to survive, multiply, etc. Because there is no chance that an accidental life-SPARK will become into a being from a primordial soup (on or outer earth) already in a lifespan of a few seconds or days already has evolved through the evolutionary way the property of the urge to multiply or to stay alive ... .. and the double chance that an accidental life arises And this life directly arises with the property to survive is nil .. ... And the triple chance that life arises, this life directly arises with the property to survive and also gets the time to get the chance to evolve within seconds to days ...

### **The example of the atom**

Anyone who sees a brick knows that it is made by people and that it serves to build buildings.

Then look at the atom. Constructed from protons, neutrons and electrons. They are like Lego blocks that you can use to build anything. How big is the chance that something like this will happen naturally (Yes, I know about the early universe, then). Consider the shape and possibilities of the atom. Could the atom have just emerged into its final shape or is it a high-tech building material designed by an intelligent Creator?



Atheists, I know, it is overwhelming. Take the time to let it sink ...

With my theory, even for the atheists, it is undeniable that there must be a creator, a kind of god. Dear atheists, that god is God.

## What we can learn from the Qur'an and from evolution in general

### **Ayat 22 in Surah 30**, Our different colors and languages

Maybe some people already recommend where I want to go. I want to talk about the unity of humanity. Because there is a lot of wrong at this subject. Since it is part of the evolution, I cover it in this chapter.

Humans are in the base multiplied of 2 persons, with or without influences of existing and evolved Hominidae on earth. In principle, all people share our common parents from then on. In the many tens of thousands of years, people have multiplied and have spread out over the earth. In the beginning we were 1 family with matching biological and physical characteristics. We also spoke 1 language. When we flew out over the earth, people had formed new outward characteristics due to climatic pressure and were given many new languages through isolation and development. Peoples and countries have been formed. This process happened in the beginning mainly in small communities of about 150 people. During this process, people have not only formed new outward characteristics and languages but also certain behavioral biologies including a very annoying, xenophobia, which has led to division and wars. The solution to our conflicts lies to a large extent in understanding our (evolutionary) biological behavior. Xenophobia is the property to distrust and even to hate others. This characteristic has been formed over time and proved to be evolutionary successful. For during the many tens of thousands of years of evolution or millions of years, for some who dare to think further (people have many same behavioral biology characteristics as animals), a different tribe than yours often meant danger. The people of the other tribe (with a different appearance and language than your tribe) could steal women, food and possessions, whether or not with murder parties.

Although xenophobia had a useful function, it is now redundant and we have to see where our xenophobic thoughts come from. In fact, people who are racist and xenophobic are no more than rats and chimpanzees. They too think in groups, in us and them.

Let me give you the example of a research done with two families of

brown rats. Let's say family A and family B. The two families each have their own territory in a large demarcated space. Subsequently, one rat of family A was placed in a cage and then placed in the territory of family B. Family B became agitated by the arrival of the strange rat but the cage prevented the strange rat from being attacked. After a long period, the rat in the cage took the smell of family B. As the strange rat in the cage no longer smelled strangely, family B became quiet. Then the researchers decided to put the rat in the cage back to its original family, without a cage. All the rats of his own family smelled the strange smell, thought it was a strange rat, attacked him and killed him.

This research is humanity in a nutshell. We humans are 1 species but have the same evolutionary behavioral characteristics as the rats. Most people behave unconsciously.

What is going on in the world is absolutely wrong. Countries, flags, borders, but also explicitly and nationalistically using different languages are wrong. Gradually, humanity must return to the unity of 1 language, 1 people and 1 flag. Free to travel and live in anywhere on this planet, if there is space. With a minimum standard of living for every individual.

There are people and peoples who care about their identity, names, language and culture. They even want to kill and commit terrorist attacks for an own land or language. Logically, this is nonsense. A language is only something to communicate. It is to heavily overrated. Unfortunately, most do not understand this. In fact, they are supported by human rights groups - unaware of their own bio-evolutionary behavior - which also show chimpanzee and rat behavior.

Let me take the example of Europe, the United States and 'The West'. These groups constantly insist that they are 1. They often act as 1 group. Europe's borders are about 5000 km from north to south and 4000 km from west to east. The boundaries of the US are from 8000 km from north to south and 4000 km from west to east. The limits of the west are even greater, tens of thousands of kilometers. From the US to Europe, to Japan, to Australia. Very nice this group formation. The history and population of the United States is fascinating in some ways. In a short time, in a few hundred years, but especially the last hundred years, people from all over the world have moved to the US and become American. Irish, English, French, Spaniards, Germans, Dutch, Italians, Chinese, Africans. Together they became 1 country, 1 people with a common and official language, English. Fantastic all this

unity.

A very noble thought and striving for this unity were it not that this Europe, US and Western group would like to point out the differences of peoples in other countries. Typical chimpanzee behavior. The countries and groups that want to be 1 and large like to point out the differences in population groups in other countries. If they were really good with mankind, they could give the example of their own group, which is what I am doing now.

A nationality is only an identity. A language is just a language, just something to communicate. God has given us that possibility. It is unimportant and not worth fighting for. What is important is religion. Unless there are REALLY insurmountable differences and insights, unless REALLY peoples are oppressed, there is no reason to fight for this.

There can be many languages and nationalities be invented, millions if necessary. But there is only one right faith and that is the faith of God.

Also do not get lost in 'race thinking'. Some are proud to be descended from a certain 'race' or people. But if you watch closer then you see that it is a mix of different people, sometimes even from a very different area thousands of miles away. And occasionally it even occurs that the 'enemy' is close family. Ultimately, we all descend from man.

I myself was born in the southwest of Turkey, close to Greece. Judging by the appearance of myself, my family and residents in the area, I knew that I was a descendant of the East Roman Empire, with some influences from Europe and Asia. Yet I was curious if there was more, so I had a DNA test done through a company in Canada. The result (father line) was surprising, I share the same DNA as 72% of the Ashkenazi Jews. Also known as the line of Aaron, brother of Moses.

There is also a presumed Kurdish mix.

In addition, they have tested which nations I match most. I seem to match most with Hmong, Greeks, Italians and Danes. The close relationship with Greece and Italy is in line with my expectations. I did not expect the Hmong and Danes. A little further Sweden and then ..., although far, the kinship with the Apache and the Navajo Indians! This can be explained by the fact that Indian tribes are originally Siberian and Central Asian peoples who have long ago crossed the Bering street to America. The evidence is not only genetic but also, cultural /

religious (Shamanism etc.) and linguistic; linguistic surveys show that the sentence structures correspond with Turkish as well as about three hundred words. That the famous 'Havasu Creek' between the Apache and Navajo territories is as Turkish as possible is not a coincidence. With Turkish I do not mean the country Turkey (which is actually a Turkified country) but the Turks of Central Asia. But even more astonishing (and genetically still further) is the kinship with Tanzanians from Africa.

Below, in order of kinship, the list with a selection from the match with indigenous peoples.

With genetic distance 0 and 1:

- Hmong from South East Asia (which originate from South West China)

With genetic distance 2:

- Greeks from Peloponnese Greece
- Greeks from Central Greece
- Danes from Denmark
- Italians from Sardinia Italy
- Italians from Sicily Italy

With genetic distance 3:

- Sweden from Varmland Sweden
- Spaniards from the Basque Country Spain
- Eastern Europeans from Serbia, Croatia, Bosnia, Hungary, Romania and Macedonia
- Apache Indians from the United States of America
- Arabs from Saudi Arabia
- Turks from Central Anatolia
- Germans from Saxony Germany
- Indigenous people from Brazil and Venezuela

With genetic distance 4:

- Datoga and Burunge from Tanzania
- Navajo Indians from the United States of America

- Sweden from Vasterbotten, Biekinge and Kristianstad Sweden
- Russians from Nowgorodskaya, Orlovskaya and Smolenskaya Russia
- Uighurs from West China
- Japanese from Osaka Japan
- Lithuanians from Poland
- Tatars from Poland
- Austrians from Tyrol Austria
- Hui in Ninxia from China
- Han in Jilin and Heilongjian from China
- Inhabitants from England (import from India)
- African Americans from the United States
- White Americans from the United States

I told this story in the subject of evolution to prove the theory of evolution. I do not look like the average Hmong, Dane, Swede, Apache or Tanzanian, but we are related to each other. This is a proof of evolution; evolutionary pressures have formed various external characteristics. In addition, one can conclude that people and my ancestors in particular were very traveling. In a lesser but not insignificant degree, this also applies to many other people on earth who are proud of their 'race' or 'people'. You do not belong to an exclusive breed that just grew out of the earth. Nor are you a pure race. No, you are from the same tribe as all the other people who have spread out over the planet, have formed different tribes, and those larger tribes are often mixed together again.

With this scientific fact, the Muslims will be much stronger. The Muslim as a world citizen.



## Meat, sacrifice

First let's read some Ayat.

**In 40:79** it says *"God has created animals for you, some like mount, some to eat."*

**22:27/28** states that during the annual Hajj an animal can be sacrificed and given to the poor.

In **22:36** it is stated that the large animals can be sacrificed while standing on the legs (healthy) and when it falls down (no longer lives) one may eat it, but one must also feed the poor.

Then in **22:37** it is stated that neither the flesh nor the blood reaches God but that everything is meant for man to profit from it and to honor God.

Considering all Ayat mentioned, we can state that the sacrifice of animals involves two things; charity towards the less fortunate and to strengthen the bond with God. Going deeper into it, it is not about sacrificing to God, because God states that "Neither the flesh nor the blood reaches God". The reason of the rule that you sacrifice for God has to do with what people did before, sacrifice for other gods or for a festival. Also the advice to sacrifice for God has somewhat of a compelling effect to sacrifice, after which the consequence is that the less fortunate could also benefit. This also predominates and is repeated in all ayat. You have to share the meat with the poor and that is what it is all about.

So, we can consume meat from certain animals, we have to sacrifice for God during the annual hajj and we have to share that meat with the poor. God does not require more obligatory sacrifices from us.

Something is noticeable! The sacrifice is mandatory during the annual hajj, so for the people who go on pilgrimage. For people who are not

on pilgrimage there is no obligation to sacrifice an animal. As always, there is an obligation to share your assets with the needy.

You also have to see things in a historical perspective. In the past there were many less fortunate in the city during the Hajj. With that you had to share the meat. With people who almost never ate meat and in this way make the religion attractive. Nowadays that is not the case. There are only millions of pilgrims there, making it more of a wasteful food festival than charity.

Can we somewhat determine what normal meat consumption is?

Yes we can. In **2:196** it says that those who do not have to slaughter an animal during the hajj must fast for 10 days. This is serious. We can conclude from this that slaughtering an animal was very costly. So someone who slaughtered an animal during the Hajj was cautiously slaughtering animals in the rest of the year. If we translate this into the present in prosperous countries, then we can say that we must consume meat in moderation. Compared to the capitalist system of giga production and consumption, the original Islam is very environmentally and animal-friendly.

When we look at the essence of sacrificing (feeding the poor), I believe that we are not doing well in the rich prosperous countries. Today (in the prosperous countries) we are well fed and we sacrifice during the hajj period while we are not on hajj and while there is an abundance of meat throughout the year. Every Muslim should consciously deal with these facts.

We also have to bear in mind that there used to be several thousand Muslims who are sacrificing. Now there are hundreds of millions. In a time of plenty this is a waste.

Is the way of sacrificing an animal normal?

A good butcher slaughters the animal so that it has little stress and pain. It does not state that slaughter must start via a cut in the throat. Nor are there any other prohibitions or prohibitions than those mentioned with regard to the manner of slaughter. What then stands in our way to act logically and to improve the preparatory steps for the actual slaughter? Nothing! Appropriate stunning of the animal for slaughter fits with Islam. In fact, now that we have the possibilities, a



slaughter without anesthetic should be prohibited.

## Ritual slaughter? Not in Islam!

Friend and foe, supporters and opponents, believers and unbelievers agree on ritual slaughter in Islam. Unfortunately, we have to be realistic again and wake people up from their dogmatic dreams. There is no ritual slaughter in Islam. Watch:

- The animal must have led a good life
- The animal must be adult
- The animal must be healthy
- The animal may not be slaughtered for one / in the name of another deity than God
- Slaughter must be done as quickly as possible (as little suffering as possible)

What rituals do you see here? I do not see any but logical things. But that is self-evident for a thinking person.

I do not know exactly how the Jews treat animals, so I can not judge that. But I will not be surprised if one also goes wrong in assumptions there. If that is the case, I hope that they too will see this and the leaders are working for change. The best change is from within the religion, not with coercion.

## Meat factories

It is beyond doubt that the large animal farms in the West and nowadays also in Islamic countries are absolutely against Islam. Large animal farms where, for example, sometimes thousands to ten thousands of chickens or turkeys are in a small space with stress, illness and fear that must be ready for slaughter within a few weeks. And for what? For profit, more, more and more profit. Wasting which is forbidden in Islam.

I also want to discuss the treatment of animals before sacrificial feast. I have seen that people buy a sacrificial animal several weeks or months before the sacrifice. This animal is then tied with a meter of rope. Before, the food is put down and behind the manure is removed.

A few weeks or months long ... Move in the position of the animal ... If they bind you like that. Think about what God would think about this.

## Sexual Orientation

I want to discuss two things about homosexual orientation; 1 is it in the Koran and should gay people be punished? 2 the possible cause of homosexuality.

1. According to research, approximately up to 3% of people are homosexual. These people are born with it and can not help themselves that they are like that. In the Quran there is actually nothing explicit about this deviation and / or about any punishment unless one thinks of the people of Lot. In my opinion the people of Lot were not homosexual but DRIVED homosexually. That is something else entirely. I will explain why. In 11:78 it is stated, among other things, that the people of Lot came running to Lot's house to have sex with the two angels who were visiting the Prophet Lot in male forms. Pay attention to 'the people'. So these are not a few men but the whole people! Dozens or hundreds of men. An entire people can not be homosexual. So it must have been a tradition or a cultural thing.

Another proof for this is that God later, with the exception of a few people, has punished the entire people of Lot. The whole people! God will not punish 100 people if there are 1 to 3 homosexually behaving men between!

There is nothing in the Quran about real gays and about possible punishments. How some 'Muslims' think they should be punished is therefore a mystery or it must be based again on the reprehensible non-Islamic hadith. After all, it is about people who have been made like that by God. Why then would God punish them? Would God have thought "Come, I'm going to make homosexuals and then I'm going to punish them a lot because being gay is a sin." Does not sound logical anyway. The conclusion seems to me that innate homosexuality is not punishable according to our faith. Reprehensible people are them who are not natural gays and are guilty of commit sex with the same sex.

2. As said, an estimated 3% of the population is homosexual, is innate and can not do anything about it themselves. The official assumption is that people happen to have the deviation, so that it is not genetic. If you look at history, this does not make sense:

Being gay has not been accepted by any religion or society for thousands of years. Except for the people of Lot. Even in the near past of the west and in the present west, there was and is some taboo. Many hundreds of thousands, millions (?) People in the past thousands of years have kept this secret and are simply married as husband and wife and from these marriages many children have come with an increased chance of this property.  $1 + 1 = 2$ . It is precisely the fear of being punished, such as by our 'Muslims', 'Christians', 'Jews' or any other religion or society, that has ensured that gays have kept the secret that caused them to marry against their will. the opposite sex and many children were given the possibility of passing on the gene (I doubt the state that is no gene for this behavior) and even more people with this quality were being produced. How tragic. I am of the opinion that the whole IVF and donor way therefore is a wrong development.

## Circumcision = not Islamic and child abuse

In addition to the headscarf, the foreskin removal on boys is the most common misunderstanding in Islamic society. It is so common and has been applied for so long that Muslims do not know better than that it is a prescription of Islam. But there is nothing about circumcision in the Qur'an. Nothing. If something is so far-reaching and important, then it should certainly have been in the Koran. But no, it is precisely against this use that there is ayat in the Quran

**64:3** that God "*shaped man and made our forms beautiful.*"

God has created us completely and functionally. A foreskin is not something that must be medically contravened. It is not a disease, a disease of affliction, no abnormality. It is not something that arose later. That is why it is a rebellion against God and remove His creation. The tradition is not only against Islam, it is also gross mutilation and child abuse. It is unacceptable that children are circumcised when there is no medical cause. It must be forbidden. Give people the choice whether or not they want to be circumcised when they are adults. See if they are still behind it - a definite moment to see how strong your faith is! I am guessing that the majority of the men will not do it.

There are men who say that circumcision is just like cutting nails, that it is necessary. But no, it is not. Because nails grow back and a foreskin does not. A foreskin has a function and that function loses a man at a circumcision. It has a lasting effect almost comparable to removing an eyelid.

There will be people who will raise that it is a counsel from the prophet described in the hadith. But the hadith are unreliable, the Quran is the religion, NOT the hadith.

The circumcision culture has probably come into use by orthodox Jewish influences. Again, just like with the headscarf, the Muslims

hang on to a Jewish use. Not to denigrate Jews, because they are only victims of their 'hadith', but just to show that you can question everything. No matter how painful it is, sometimes you just have to admit that you're wrong.

Of course. Circumcision is certainly not a disaster, on the contrary. There are certainly positive aspects. That is why people will certainly provide the benefits based on modern research. It is more hygienic and it could, for example, reduce (MAYBE) the risk of cervical cancer in women. What is more certain is that the spread of transmissible venereal diseases (STD's) is made virtually impossible. But how can venereal diseases be spread if one is monogamous? Not!

In my region unknown but in Africa there is still something like female circumcision. It is an African culture that is applied to Christians, Muslims and animists alike. Sometimes it is mistakenly associated with Islam. There is even a woman from Somalia who has based her career on that lie. Lies based on survival, resentment, despair. Female circumcision, just like boy circumcision, has absolutely nothing to do with Islam.

## Interest

### Interest, capitalism and wealth

The capitalist world we live in is not perfect, but the money system is in itself an effective and good system. That it is not always used fairly by individuals and governments is something else. We can also think of other systems, but in order to introduce and enforce this in the short term, people are needed, such as the prophets Moses, Jesus and Solomon, who were given great powers and possibilities by God. Unfortunately, we do not have that luxury and we have to do it for the time being with this capitalist system. We can work towards better systems gradually and with patience.

If, in my own words, I should combine the words of the Qur'an without distorting it, then according to God it is normal and allowed that one person can be higher in wealth than the other man, without falling into extremities. Without falling into extremities because God does not like people who go too far. The case is that people have gone too far with the money system, interest and capitalism. It is clear in the Koran that interest is forbidden. Period. But what is interest? My feeling says that it is an extremity. The word *riba* that was used at the time is about multiplying, overflowing, more overflowing. My feeling says that it concerns an extremity, an extreme interest rate, a usurious interest rate, an interest on interest, a disproportionate earning of what you had loaned. Also extreme almost unsolvable debts, disproportionately heavy taxes are an example of *riba*.

Banks fulfill a useful function in our capitalist society. You can store your money in a safe way in cash and in a giral way and sometimes borrow useful to buy a house or start a business. It is normal for a bank to request compensation as long as it is not an extreme fee. Fees of 1 to 3% are acceptable. We live in a world where we can not always safely store our possessions at home. There are thieves, accidents or natural disasters that can cause you to lose the money. That is why you bring it to the bank and it is then normal for the bank to give you a compensation or ask for a fee to save your money safely.

We also live in a world where you do not always have the money to immediately buy your dream home or turn your great idea into an economic activity. That's why we borrow. A family member can usually lend you money without any consideration. But usually large amounts involve a trust issue or an amount that your family member does not have or can not miss. That's why we borrow from the bank. What should a bank do if you borrow money? You just give the loan and get exactly the same amount later? How should they then earn and how should they exist? In certain Muslim countries there is a system that you then have to work for a bank. This is an unnecessarily difficult system. It comes down to the same as the interest. You only pay with your body, you are not free to do the work you choose. A non-system, black/white thinking, not logical and not Islamic. Of course, in such situations such a barter trade is quite possible. But why do not do it when it is not necessary?

In my view, interest is not something to be difficult to do if it does not involve extreme interest rates. In addition, you can limit the interest factor as much as possible by saving as much as possible for a large purchase so that you can borrow little or no short term. You can also transfer the interest received on your savings account to a needy or a charity.

## Wealth

I also want to explain richness because it is somewhat like interest. God has said, not my words, that some people are higher than others. Being rich is allowed! Only, the Koran gives here and there guidelines. Like the sacrificial meat that you give away for a large part or the statement that you can not waste or the many ayat that says you have to give to the needy. You should not spend your wealth on useless and wasteful business because God does not like wasters. However, you MUST share your wealth and use it for a better world.



## Celebrating Christmas and New Year, birth of Jesus

In almost all countries people celebrate the new year. Christian countries also celebrate Christmas in advance. In some Muslim countries, a small part of the population celebrates Christmas without actually knowing what it means. The vast majority of the Muslim population is heavy against the festivities around Christmas which in Turkey is referred to as Noël. There is also a very large non-Christian story behind Noël but that aside. I limit myself to the critics who say that celebrating Noël is Christian.

Let's start with Jesus first. When was Jesus born?

To Maria, after the birth, was said:

**Quran 19:25** *"Shake the date branch to you so that fresh ripe dates fall upon you."*

It may be clear that Jesus was born at a time when the dates were just getting ripe, in the Middle East probably around August. Since birth dates have only been kept up to date for a few decades, let alone recorded in the past around the year 0, no one knows the exact date of the birth of Jesus. But the correct Roman birth date and Christian acceptance of December 25 proved its inaccuracy by the aforementioned ayat. In the middle of winter there were no fresh ripe dates. In addition to the truth that God always spreads, we can also discern some humor from God: the detail of the fresh ripe date just stands out. But in itself, the exact date is not very important to commemorate Jesus because we simply do not know.

Since Jesus is also our prophet and there is no ban on celebrating birthdays, it is not against our faith to celebrate the birth of Jesus.

Everyone is free here.

A comment is then in order. Nowadays in 'The West' most people are not religious but they celebrate Christmas because of being together with the family, the snow and just to party. As long as you do not lapse

into that, Christmas celebration does not seem wrong.

After Christmas people celebrate the new year. Most people in the world celebrate old and new year as obsessed as if something very big and special happens. They surrender to food and alcoholic beverages and waste raw materials on fireworks. Against this is an important command from God: "Eat, drink, but do not go too far" This command is mentioned in the chapter economy.

I also want to quote two ayat from the Qur'an:

**6:70** *Look at those who have made their religion a laughter and play ... (shortened)*

**7:51** *These sinners have made their religion a laughter and play, and thus life on earth has deceived them. Because they have forgotten that they would be faced with this Day (Day of judgement) and knowingly ignored our ayat we will forget them on this Day.*

Keep this ayat against the frenzied celebration of Christmas and Carnival in Christianity or actually the meager remains of Christianity. The decision is up to you. It may well be considered with moderation at a turn of the year and somewhat celebrated. That makes us human. What is absolutely a no go is (excessive) fireworks. This is a total senseless waste of raw materials and a pollution of our planet. This pollution causes unhealthy children and people.

## Economy and environment

Wonderful and admirably there are guidelines for economic activities in the Qur'an. The Quran is the solution for all world problems, including the economy and the environment. God begins with labor, consumption and rest;

**6:96** *He lights up the morning. He turns the night into a time of rest, the sun and moon to a calculation. This is the ability of the noble only God who is omniscient.*

**6:141** *(Shortened) ... grapes ... types of dates ... similar and non-similar olives and pomegranates It is He Who creates it. When the harvest time comes, eat the fruit when it ripens and give, but do not waste it because God does not like wasters.*

**7:31** *Descendants of Adam! Put on nice clothes every time you pray. Eat, drink but do not waste because God does not like wasters.*

**10:67** *He created the night that you may rest, and in the morning he will illumine. There are undoubtedly examples for a listening society.*

**25:47** *For you He makes the night a blanket, sleep to rest, the day for distribution and labor.*

**27:86** *Have they not seen that we have made the night that they may rest, and the morning to a day? For a society that believes there are examples here.*

Then God goes to the environment;

**30:41** *The balance on earth and in the sea is disturbed by your own hands, God lets them taste them for a part, perhaps they turn.*

**31:14** *(Shortened) ... Because his mother has borne him with difficulty.*

*Duration of breastfeeding is two years ...*

**40:61** *For you to rest, he has made the night and the morning that you may see. God is good for the people. But most are not grateful.*

**55:7** *God has raised the heavens and He has set the balance.*

**55:8** *Make sure you do not disturb the balance!*

Economics and environment summarized in a few sentences. These are not simple words; someone whose arrogance does not exceed his intelligence or the atheist who does not give in to cognitive dissonance, recognizes in this ayat exactly what is going on in the world and recognizes Divinity. The people have turned into selfish, unscrupulous blind wasters who are rapidly destroying the environment.

Although it is all crystal clear for someone with some knowledge and who opens up, I want to show how artfully God chooses his words. In the first place, the logical order and content of the ayat that run through the book and which show a great intelligence of the Author were taken into account.

He summarizes everything: When work is to be done, not at night - that you can translate as a no-24-hour economy - that there is no need to be wasted, that a balance (!) is set and later the consequences of environmental disruption when people do not follow the advice. Had the people of that time already heard of environmental pollution? A time to sleep at night. During the day to spread and for work. Nowadays cities, roads and factories are often illuminated and operational for 24 hours.

There is even a hint to how children become healthy and to the current mass production of dairy. In the ayat above we read that the Koran speaks of 2 years of breastfeeding for children. Today this is a rare thing, especially in the western world. This manifests itself in different ways. On the one hand because people are forced to work long hours, as do women. This means they have less time to take care of their child. On the other hand, it is promoted by greedy shareholders that babies become healthy and beautiful through cow's milk. How this works is real, words fail, let's say absurd. One of the smallest countries in the world, the Netherlands, has changed into a

large milk factory that produces over 600 kg of milk per person per year. This is an immense surplus, a part is exported to other countries. For example, in the form of milk powder for babies, destined for China ten thousand kilometers away. This does not happen by itself. Oil is used for production and transport, which is 5000 km from the Netherlands. After the milk from the Netherlands has arrived in China, the women in China can buy baby milk from the Netherlands, do not breastfeed and free themselves for labor to produce cheap products which are then re-exported to the Netherlands. All this is called trade. This trade is accompanied by environmental pollution, less well-developed people, senseless work and animal suffering. Animal suffering, the calf is separated from the mother soon after birth, for the pleasure of the human being and especially for even more profit. And the mother is milked until it is too old and produces too little milk, then it is slaughtered. What a life for the animal. People lower themselves for some profit and pleasure. How enlightening are the opinions of God based on not wastefulness and on rest and regularity! Let me give an example of another country, a country that is to be called the most developed Muslim country in the world, Turkey.

Gradually this book will make you realize that Muslim countries have little or nothing to do with Islam; Islam in all Muslim countries has degenerated into a religion with its own fabricated rules and laws.

I give Turkey not only as an example because it is a modern Muslim country, but also because the ruling government (since 2002), in contrast to the past, consists more of religious politicians than between 1923 (foundation of the republic) and 2002. This government prides itself on it that she is Islamic. Their foreman and ministers often talk about the economy, how good the economy is, how well the economy grows, that the economy MUST grow, etc. But this is not what God wants, at least not in this form. You would almost think that this foreman who has received an 'imam hatip' training, a sort of monastery training, is aware of the content of the Qur'an. That means that the ayat about rest, regularity, wastefulness, fair distribution of wealth, balance and environmental pollution would be known to him. Not!. This is also not strange. With such training it is not so important that you understand what is in the Quran but that you can read a language that you do not understand. In these

programs, too, attention is given mainly to the largely unreliable hadith, which they do read extensively in their mother tongue. Let me be honest, the next situation that I am about to describe is of course not merely the fault of the religious government. Their secular predecessors were not much better. The current opposition is also worthless. In short, just like on the rest of the world.

## The economic situation and working conditions in the modern 'Muslim country' Turkey

These are deeply distressing. Most workers who do not have the 'luck' to be civil servants have to work hard and long for little money. In summary, a six-day workweek of 12 hours a day from about their 16th / 20th to the pension at about 60 years of age whereby the retirement age will undoubtedly be stretched in the future. Officials work 5 days a week and self-employed people usually 7 days.

In practice, this means that the workers, most of them, get up at 6 a.m. 7 a.m. in the morning and start work at 8 a.m. Then they work until 6 p.m. or 7 p.m. after which they travel home and arrive at home around 8:00 p.m.. Then the family goes to dinner, at 8:00 pm (!) And there is a few hours of free time. These are usually spent with football and news on, in term paid off, TV (where the foreman announces that the economy is growing) or some time wasted in the local tea house. 6 days a week. On Sunday, a day is free to recover and some interaction with the children. During the day for women and in the evening for men and women there are mostly stupid series and programs on TV. Many series take 2 to 3 hours per episode! For many years, for example, the 'Survivor' program has been on TV. This takes 3 to 3.5 hours every day, from 8.30 pm to 12 midnight! During half a year! The next day there is still a few hours of commentary! Totally freak! It keeps people from doing useful things in religion and out of religion. The Qur'an says that you should not concern yourself with useless matters.

In addition to the holidays, you also get an annual holiday of 15 days that you often can not classify yourself, but which time the employer comes up with. This up to the retirement age. Social life and healthy living is as good as not. This is pure slavery of course! Inhuman! This story separates me from the smart people who undermine the

hadith. When I complained about this situation, the hadith-undermining-doctor, said that everyone in his own free time has the time to study the Quran. Others richly-born joined him. This is Turkey and its elite. The rich, not hungry, will never feel what the common man feels.

Furthermore, people are also very unhealthy in Turkey. Half of the men in Turkey smoke. Smoking is probably one of the most wasteful anti-Islamic products that exist. Maybe more sin than pork and alcohol together. A useless product that destroys the environment and is very unhealthy.

Besides tobacco, they have cheap (sugar) products and cheap fats and oils in abundance.

The salary of the regular civil servant, but especially of the worker and self-employed person, is also not an amount that makes you happy. It is just enough to live. If you live in a rented house or mortgage house in the city, you only buy the strictly necessary. Meat, dairy, vegetables and fruit are expensive. Dairy is very expensive, meat is almost a small capital. Considering the incomes and prices it is in proportion as if you paid 20 times more than in other countries. For a laborer in the Netherlands for example, a kilo of meat is 5 to 10 euros. If the incomes and prices were as in Turkey, the worker in the Netherlands would pay 100 to 200 euros for a kilo of meat. But not only meat is expensive, because of the low income almost all food is expensive, so people get an unhealthy diet on bread.

Even fish caught locally is excessively expensive.

Other products are also expensive. White goods and electronics are often funded with a payment arrangement. Internet is a big luxury for the common man. These products are already 1.5 to 3 times more expensive at regional prices than in Western countries. New cars are 1.5 to 2 times as expensive as in the west and used cars 2 to 10 times as expensive! Fuel is the most expensive in the world!

Because cars are so expensive, there is simply driven with old environmentally polluting cars of 20, 30, 40 years old, which are becoming more numerous, making the air enormously dirty in the cities with all the health problems that entails. These old cars are also usually bought on an bank loan.

And the government is calling out how great the economy is. The

ordinary people do not or hardly profit from it. Their entire lives are spent on labor, the labor that serves to keep the country running internally and cheaply producing for abroad. They should not only lack healthy food or free time but also nature. A holiday on the coast is too expensive and life in the cities is a visual and auditory hell full of air pollution. Every green space makes way for ugly apartments in which the people are kept as cattle. The street scene in the cities is as follows; concrete buildings / stone walkway / asphalt / stone walkway / concrete buildings.

If you review this situation and compare it against the light of the Quran, how Islamic is this country? How Islamic is this government? Zero point zero. The sad thing is that there are no alternatives. The secular people in front of this 'religious' government were not so less worse by their class Nazis, their arrogance and selfishness. But not everything can be attributed to the controversy of the politicians of the country. Other powerful rich countries prefer to keep the situation so that they can continue to market their own products.

So we mention it.

- Large percentage smokes -> illnesses and early mortality
- Unhealthy diet on bread, unhealthy fats and sugar -> diseases, obesity.
- Meat, milk, eggs and fish almost unaffordable -> brain and growth of children who do not develop well
- Internet very expensive -> few people can get information
- Time is swallowed up by labor and mindless TV series -> no time to learn anything, read books or do something about religion.
- Local economies, small-scale and large-scale agriculture and animal husbandry are being phased out and made dependent on foreign imports and large corporations. National olive cultivation / olive oil is discouraged / destroyed and unhealthy oils from countries of tens of thousands of kilometers are imported.

The conclusion is that people are structurally made ill and kept stupid. In addition, the country is too dependent on abroad for many products. The country also commits another sin to waste. You have plenty of healthy olive oil in your own country, but what do you do? You cut down the olive trees, sell the land to project developers - who are making ugly stalls / cities for max profit - and import unhealthy



palm oil from tens of thousands of kilometers!

How Islamic can such a country be? Not! Hardly any time to spend time with your children or to do something about religion like reading the Quran or reading another book. People are busy in their spare time to catch their breath, let alone that they can examine their religion or do their praying. My conclusion is that this 'religious' government from 2002 to the present day 2017, including their foreman, is anti-Islam. Consciously or unconsciously.

Lack of science and a toy of multinationals.

Recently, the captain, Mr. T. Erdogan, also voiced the threat that the hadith deniers will pay a high price for their disbelief ... Good Lord Erdogan, why should I believe a Buhari who said 250 years after the death of the Prophet that the Prophet said that the earth is resting on a whale, that a martyr gets 72 virgins, that the Prophet married a 6-year-old, that camel-sour drinking is healthy, that you put a fly that fell into your soup good again so the soup should be cleaned because one wing contains poison and the other wing the antidote? We can all see through what kind of clowns we are being led. Unfortunately, this is not unique in the world.

Of course, we must not blame the government alone. The people in the media also have their responsibility but they only think about their own position and money. I hope that this book will start an improvement so that within a few years to a decade we can set the foundation for a major improvement.

This book is about the misconceptions in the Islamic world. Situations in other countries are sometimes worse, sometimes better. Situations in e.g. India / China are still a degree worse.

I have told situations in 2 countries, Turkey and a Western rich country, the Netherlands. The West is also not perfect, see the milk story above which is a very small example of what is wrong. Apart from the senseless production, 99% of the people in the rich Western countries lead the life of a slave (in a more comfortable situation than the slave in Turkey) and causes unnecessary pollution, which disturbs the balance and destroys the planet. This apart from the life that many

suffer. In the rich 'western' countries most go to work in the mornings, often in the dark, and come home by night or sometimes in the dark.

They have not seen sunlight all day. Because they are two-income households, they have to miss their children for a long time.

Depending on the country in which they live, they have to keep this up until their 70th year. Madness! The retirement age is continually being increased further under the guise that the average life expectancy of people is increasing ...

This is nonsense!

From an evolutionary biological point of view, the average person can not suddenly live longer in a few decades!

The biological life expectancy of a person has been about the same for thousands of years. The average life expectancy has only increased with medical artifices and care for children.

We can see so well what kind of nonsense we are doing on earth.

Work until you are elderly to produce the most senseless products.

I will give another example of a meaningless product: a very senseless product is the whitening creams. They use people especially in Asian and African countries to look white. The Islamic leaders and clergy decide on the basis of the use of pork fat whether it is halal or haram.

Wrong. In the evolution chapter we saw the ayat in which God says that our colors are the work of Him. So it is and wrong to change the creation of God and it pollutes the environment. Producing is good but producing senseless products is not good.

## No friends with pagans, Christians and Jews?

Some Muslims believe that you can not become friends with Jews, Christians or infidels. They then rely on some ayat in the Qur'an in which this is described:

**4:144** *Believers! Do not put the faithful aside to make friends with unbelievers.*

This ayat only states that you should not set aside your religious friends to make friends with unbelievers. So? Keep your religious friends a friend, even if you also become friends with unbelievers. Afterwards,

In **5:13** God tells about the Jews and closes the ayat with the words: **5:13** (shortened) ... *Forgive them (the Jews) anyway and do not mind them.*

**5:51** *Believers! Do not make Jews and Christians friends. Because they are each other's friends. Whoever makes friends with them belongs to them. No doubt God will not show the way to a people of bad.*

**5:57** *Believers! Do not make friends with those who have previously received a Book that ridicule your faith and do not make friends with unbelievers. Fear God if you believe.*

**6:51** *Warn the people who fear gathering before God with that (Quran). For them there is no other friend and no counsel other than their Lord; maybe they will be careful.*

**7:168** *We distributed them (the Jews) in groups across the earth. There are good people among them, but also those who are less. We have tried them with prosperity and adversity so that they may turn (to repent).*  
**22:40** (shortened) ... *If God would not forgive some of the sins of a group of people (with their good deeds), God would surely collapse the*

*monasteries, churches, synagogues and mosques in which the name of God is much honored. God certainly helps them in a way. Undoubtedly God is strong and exalted.*

It is actually quite simple. In the ayat it is clear that there are always 2 species of non-Muslims, namely the good and the bad. You can read that in 7:168 which states that there are good religious Jews. In 22:40 we talk about the churches and synagogues in which God is honored and in which God says that he helps these people. With these words you finally realize what the truth is and can come to the conclusion that the good Christians and good Jews are the same as the good Muslim believers. You can be friends with them. You can not be friends with the bad Christians and Jews.

You can read the most important sign in 6:51! From this you can understand what friend's content. It says that God is a friend of the believers. Let that work. If God is a Friend then that is not just a friend but a very good friend who has a lot to offer to you (and you, of course, to him). From this we can conclude that is meant by friends; very good friends. With almost all other people you can maintain ordinary friendships, companions or good collegial ties.

But beware and connect friendship on logic. In the following ayat you will see a conversation from the future:

**37:51** *(Two people in paradise have a conversation with each other) One of them says: "I had a friend."*

**37:52, 53** *He said (then on earth) "Do you also believe (in the resurrection)? That if we are dead, we have become bones and later have passed to earth, (re-created) will be punished? "*

**37:54, 55** *(Then God spoke) "Do you want to see the truth?" The speaker looked and saw his friend in the midst of hell. (person against other person who stays in hell)*

**37:55, 57** *"You almost damned me. If God's gift was not I would also be in hell now. "*

From this surah 37:51 to 57 we also learn that time is relative. An

event from the future is described. Apparently God sees the whole time at a glance.

For the Muslims who are hostile to non-Muslims and for the Islam critics who believe that the Qur'an against Jews (as a people or as supporters of Judaism) and Christians, I would like to point out that one overlooks the Qur'an and is often critical about Arabs themselves! For example, look at ayat 9:97:

**9:97** *"The Arabs (sometimes translated as Bedouin) are the worst in the area of disbelief and hypocrisy. They are also most passionate about denying the laws sent down to the messenger of God. "*

Everyone also overlooks the fact that the Quran contains countless pro-Israeli ayat. 2 Zionist (pro-Israel) to be called Ayat take the lead: Sura 7: 137 and Sura 7: 161.

Sura 7: 137

And We made the people that had been oppressed inherit the east and the west of the land We had blessed. Thus the beautiful word of your Lord was fulfilled to the Israelites because they persisted patiently, but We destroyed what Firavun and his people had made and what they had built.

So? In the Quran it is said that the area (probably around Jerusalem, how could it be otherwise) was inherited by the Jews, promised by God.

Sura 7: 161

And when it was said to them: "Inhabits this city (Jerusalem) and eat of it in abundance wherever you want and say:" Forgiveness! " will send more to those who do good."



## Jihad

Jihad is nothing but a defense to keep your faith. The Qur'an does not encourage the proactive war against Jews, Christians, pagans or dissenters. In principle, defense is discussed:

**2:190** *Perform war for God against those who are starting a war against you. But do not attack on unfounded roads. Because God does not like attacks on the grounds of injustice.*

Notice how careful one must be about war, jihad. Only waging war against those who start a war -and- NOT just war and defend, there must be a weighty ground. It must be carefully considered what is good and bad. So first look if you can negotiate, de-escalate. The rest of the ayat regarding the jihad rest on a historical perspective, when many groups were at the time of the life of the Prophet and the believers. This battle (self-defense) is normal. There is no other verse that calls for a proactive war. There is not a single reference in the Quran to then and actively engage in war and to conquer the world and to impose the faith of God. So it is nonsense of all kinds of fundamentalists but also Western media who say that Islam and jihad are actively directed against the west, the pagans and Jews.

The following Ayat also prove that Islam is not imposed on the people: **10:41** *If they deny your (faith) (as lies), say: My cause is my business, and your cause is your business. You are far removed from my business and I am far from your business.*

Well people, media, opinion makers, politicians; where does it say that Muhammad has spread Islam with the sword or has commissioned to spread with the sword or was commissioned by God? The prophet has only spread the message. He who believed has believed, he who did not believe did not believe.

**10:99** *If God had wanted it, everyone on earth would have believed. Are you going to force the people to believe?*

**31:23** *Do not let the denier make you sad. They will all turn to us. Then*

*We will let them know what they have done. God undoubtedly knows what goes on in the hearts.*

The words of God are clear. Islam is not imposed with coercion. So the opinions of fundamentalists and the western 'right' media that say that an unbeliever has to be beheaded is based on ... yes, let them first answer it themselves. It is based on lies, fabrications and blacksmithing.

Also the wars between Muslims is forbidden because in

4:92 states that a Muslim can not kill a Muslim and continues in 4:93 with:

**4:93** *The punishment for who kill a believer is eternal hell. God has condemned his wrath upon him, and made a great punishment for him.*

The reasons for warfare are often based on land, minerals and food / water that many parties, sometimes all over the world, want to claim. For various reasons and interests, media can report in a certain way. The media that work for the governments usually bring it as if it were a religious war, the holy jihad against Christians, Jews or pagans; so they win public opinion so that they can apply their politics and deployment of military resources without problems.



## Marrying multiple women

First, let's start by saying that marrying multiple women already existed before the Prophet Muhammad and beyond Islam.

In 4:3 the Quran merely sets a maximum of four women per man and that at a time when there probably was a shortage of men due to the many wars, probably in a ratio of 1: 4.

Polygamy is inherent to the history of mankind.

Marrying multiple women have probably been used more often by almost the entire history of mankind because men are more risky and often died in the wars. This has always happened, in prehistoric times, before Jesus and after Jesus, Romans, Spartans, Chinese in the Middle Ages, in the 1st and 2nd World Wars and many other conflicts.

In recent history during the 1st and 2nd World War, these wars resulted in a serious lack of men. In Germany after WW2, proportions of 5 women to 1 man seem plausible. A socially tense situation. It is plausible that consciously or secretly men are linked to multiple women in various ways.

In a healthy society with a population ratio of 50% men and 50% women it is normal and desirable that there are couples. If you deviate structurally in a healthy society, you get problems and tensions. Men who can not have a woman or women who can not have a man.

In 4:3 is also spoken in an age with few men. If not married, problems would probably be resolved in a different way which would cause more problems.

So even in a normal healthy Islamic society, 1: 1 is normal. Anyone who deviates from it does injustice to other men and is not engaged in Islam.

The critics in Western countries or atheists should also remember that in the non-Muslim countries many people have dozens of sex partners before or next to their marriage.



## Dogs, pigs, pictures, statues

I will deal with these topics in one chapter because they are very similar in terms of sin opinions according to many Muslims. The prohibitions are often based on the fabricated hadith. The hadith which specifies subjects that are not sin, as a sin ... which is a sin.

### **Dogs**

Dogs were always good friends of man. They protected us and our herds from predators. Some Muslims think that keeping dogs in the house and sometimes outside the house is not permitted because otherwise no angels can enter the house. An Islam expert from Turkey, M. Gözükan, states that this is a wrong idea because the Koran does not state that keeping dogs is a sin. Sometimes people say it's a shame because otherwise no angels can come into your house. Once again, M. Gözükan states: "If angels could not enter if dogs were kept, it would mean that many people would never die because the angel of death would not be able to enter the house." Of course many people die with a dog in house! The prohibition is therefore an absurd thought that we can refer to the realm of fables. For hygienic reasons, but that is every man for himself, there are no objections to keeping dogs in and around the house.

### **Pigs**

According to the Koran, consuming the pig is forbidden. However, there is nothing in it about banning images of pigs. Some Muslims go very far in their behavior and state that everything from the pig is forbidden, as well as images in books. This is, of course, a sickly thought. Why should an image of a pig in a book be banned? No sensible answer is possible, so this prohibition of images of pigs can be labeled as nonsensical and invalid. The pig is a creature of God, a useful creature in addition. Also the use of pig products (when there is no alternative to prevent nonsensical animal suffering) such as hair for brushes is not in conflict with our faith.

## **Pictures**

Again many Muslims regard images of living objects such as animals, plants and people as banned. Because they say, God is the only one who can create something alive. But we do not create anything alive with the making of images and there is no prohibition on this in the Quran. At the most, images of people can be kept private because of privacy.

Making images is certainly necessary for educational purposes.

## **Statues**

According to most Muslims, the making of statues is sin because of the reason mentioned above in 'pictures' but mainly because people can then regard it as an idol. Although we have to watch out for what we make and do not go too far, a sensible person will not start to consider a statue as a god. In the following ayat in the Quran:

**34:13** *They (the Jinn) made for Solomon (the prophet) whatever he wished; stately buildings, sculptures, baths and large cooking pots. House David, be thankful. Few of my servants are grateful.*

In this ayat it says that the jinn made statues for the prophet. Only we cannot deduce with one word what these statues exactly meant. The word was used for living and non-living things. So what made the jinn? Statues of non-living things? Artful design pieces? Statues of animal or man? We do not know. We only know that there is no prohibition in the Quran for making statues. The Koran warns of idols. That is something else entirely!

## Symbol of Islam, the crescent moon?

The symbol of Islam may seem like a small and unimportant subject, but it is not. And it is not innocent either. The crescent moon and especially the version with the points up, which should serve as a symbol of Islam, is like a plague. They pop up everywhere. On buildings, on minarets, in TV programs, as adornments, on TV programs, in books. Large, of gold, larger and on the bell tower in Mecca the largest. In addition to a whole useless industry, it has become like an idol.

The original crescent symbol goes very far back in time and has nothing to do with Islam. Worldwide and before Islam, all sorts of peoples had something with a (half) moon. The earliest ornaments date from the time when people worshiped the moon god Sin. The former Christian city of Constantinople also had the moon as a city symbol. Later, after the conquest of Constantinople by the Islamic Ottomans, the symbol of the city did not disappear, but it was taken over. Over the centuries, the crescent moon became the symbol of Islam in this way. It does not come from God, it is not in the Qur'an. A crescent moon as a symbol does not have to be a problem in Islam. It is only a symbol. The problem is that it is exaggerated and acts as an idol. Especially the version with the points up raises your eyebrows. For lovers of symbols, a humble moon without upright points is enough to indicate Islam. But no more and no more than strictly necessary.

More, bigger, more often is absurd! The clergy teachers do nothing and say nothing about it. I see on TV programs one spiritual leader after another speaking with large satanic golden horns in the background and foreground - I cannot call it a half moon - and say nothing about it and do nothing about it. In fact, almost no one sees the absurdity of it. We do not need this symbol in this capacity. All exaggerated, idolatrous half-moon symbols should be removed.



## Punishment on apostasy and extramarital sex

In some Muslim countries in the Middle East excessive non-Muslim punishments are given to people who leave faith or who have unproven suspicions that they have extra-marital intercourse. These countries do not punish according to the rules of Islam:

### Apostasy

**4:137** *God will not forgive and not point the way to those who believe and later fall into disbelief, then believe again, and later fall into disbelief again and multiply their unbelief.*

This is what it says with regard to falling from the faith. A penalty (given by us humans) is not mentioned. In the rest of the Quran there are no penalties for apostasy. Where do they get the death sentences? Fictional? Hadith? What do they believe in? In the Koran, the word of God or in fabricated rules?

In chapter 11, Jihad, we saw that there was no compulsion in the faith. In 10:41, 10:99, 31:23 it does not have any consequences.

### Extra-marital community

In 24: 2,3, it says that men and women who have an extra marital (or homosexual) sex, must receive 100 strokes as a punishment ... IF there are FOUR witnesses! Since this is almost impossible, or those four witnesses must also have participated, this subject is negligible. The death penalty is totally inapplicable.





## Return of Jesus, coming from Mahdi and Dajjal

There are disagreements in Islam about the return of the three end-time characters. The people who believe in it base themselves on the hadith. Opponents do not believe in the arrival / return of the end-time characters because it is not in the Qur'an. Because they argue, if these characters were so important, would it have been mentioned in the Qur'an? Are they mentioned? I will go through these figures step by step, but first the prophecies. These are based on a mishmash of mainly hadith and a part of Koran.

Jesus, his companion Mahdi and their counterpart the Dajjal are just approaching close to the End Time. First comes the Mahdi, the great teacher of the Muslims who is attributed certain qualities in every hadith. After a while the Dajjal comes. That is a very bad man in the service of satan (both the Dajjal and satan are blind according to the hadith). Then comes Jesus who comes to assist the Mahdi in the fight against the Dajjal and he, Jesus, finally destroys the Dajjal. For the curious the further story,

After the Dajjal has been killed, it will go well on earth for a while but then ...

(This is in the Koran :)

Yecuc and Mecuc emerge from the earth. These are small creatures that had imprisoned thousands of years ago by the prophet Zulkarneyn in the earth by a layer of copper and stones (source Quran).

(We continue with the hadith :)

These creatures are very numerous and the people and Jesus cannot handle them. Then Jesus prays to God and He gives the little beings a sickness after which they all die. After this, a good long time, Jesus died a natural death and for many years or centuries elapse before all life on earth is destroyed during destructionday. Many years after that all the people who once lived will be recreated again and will start the day of judgment.

So far the background story. We continue with the investigation of the characters and whether they are described in the Quran. And my view.

## The Mahdi

The Mahdi is not mentioned anywhere in the Qur'an, nor is there any mention of a person who becomes the companion of Jesus. In 5:19 there is a very small possibility of a reference:

*5:19 O people of the Scripture! Truly, our messenger has come to you, and he gave you after a break in (the series of) Messengers clarity so that you may not say: "There came unto us no bringer of glad tidings and a warner" Surely, a preacher of glad tidings and a warner comes to you, and Allah is Almighty on all things.*

It is a small possibility because there is talk of an interruption of messengers. What is meant by this is unclear. If you take the foregoing ayat into the surah it seems to be the prophet, but the use of the word interruption is special. If it means that there is a period between prophets, that is known. There has always been a period between the prophets. If it is meant that the arrival of the prophets has come to a complete end, after which a new warner comes, it becomes a different story. Then this would be the only ayat referring to a warner outside the prophets. But still, it is probably the last prophet, Mohammed.

## Jesus

Opinions are divided on the return of Jesus. Although the majority believes that Jesus will return there are also the compelling insights of progressive intellectual Muslims who think that Jesus will not come back, which idea they base on the Quran - these people do not believe in the Mahdi and the Dajjal.

I think I can give a surprising insight into the possible return of Jesus. First let's look at some ayat:

*3:55 Allah has commanded: "Isa! I will let you die (translation may also "allow return of your mission"), I'll let you come to me, cleanse you of those who deny you and your followers pose to End over the infidels.*

*4:157 And because they say "We have killed the messenger of God, son of Mary". But they did not kill him or hang him, We made him (another person) look like Jesus. Those who are in dispute about this are in great*

*uncertainty. As far as this subject is concerned they are in a big assumption and have no knowledge about this but they certainly did not kill him (Jesus).*

Good, the familiar story. Jesus was certainly not killed at the time, but he could later still be deceased through natural age. So he will not come back. But we are not there yet ...

To the question in 5:116 where God asks if Jesus told the people that there are two deities besides Him, Jesus answers denying it.

In 5:117 he tells God what happened after him:

*5:117 I have only told them what has been commissioned to me, Be a servant of my Lord who is also your Lord. I could watch them as long as I was among them. When You took Me to You, You were the only one who witnessed. You are a witness of everything.*

"When You took me to You" is the reason that some philosophers and clerics do not believe that Jesus returns to earth. The reason for this is that this piece of text is often translated differently: "When you let me die". When God takes somebody, someone can indeed have died, but this does not exclude another. But let's say that Jesus died. For God, dying and sleeping are the same for our souls. We can read that in the following ayat:

*6:60 At night He kills you, during the day He knows what you are doing. Later He awakes you again to perfect the definite time. Later you return to Him again. In the end He lets you know what you have done.*

So, sleeping is also a kind of death. A small death. Even if there were to be 5:117 deceased, it would still be a small one, other than sleep, that could be dead. Jesus can still come back. This ayat is also a proof of the existence of the soul. The soul temporarily leaves the body during sleep or death.

Basing on the next ayat I think there is a big chance that Jesus will return to earth:

*43:61 Undoubtedly he (Jesus, see also 43:57, 58, 59) is a sign of the End*

*Times (last day, destruction). Do not doubt this at all because this is the truth.*

If the last Prophet Muhammad would have been a sign of the End Times, it would have been more logical that in this Ayat mentioned Muhammad. But Muhammad is not mentioned and Jesus is! Nothing else can be concluded than that Jesus returns.

Some Islam scholars claim that you only die once on earth and only come back to life on the day of judgment, but how can an Islam scholar overlook similar ayat such as 6:60?

- 2:56 The people of Moses are struck by lightning, die and then brought back to life on earth,
- 2:154 He who dies on God's path is not dead, they live,
- 2:243 Thousands were killed and brought back to life on earth,
- 2:260 Abraham kills 4 birds (he wants to see the dead come back to life) and scatters the remains over the hills. God brings them back to life on earth,
- The youngsters in the cave who slept there with their dog for 309 years and then woke up again, on earth,

But in 2: 259 see something very special. A man sees collapsed buildings with deceased people and asks how God brings the dead back to life. God kills that man and his donkey, lets them stay dead for 100 years and brings the man back to life and asks him how long he was dead. The man says one day. God says, no, 100 years and look at your food and drinks that are not spoiled. Then God says look at your donkey; the donkey's bones recover and the meat is dressed on it.

The question of how it is possible that Jesus can return to the earth unharmed after thousands of years can be explained by time-relativity. This means that at a certain high speed, time passes more slowly. For example, someone who would make a theoretical voyage in space at about the speed of light would be out of date for several hours or days when returning to Earth, while hundreds or thousands of years would have passed on Earth. This is also confirmed and substantiated by 2 ayat. God states that some days or periods with

Him, or traveling up and down to him, correspond to 1,000 years or 50,000 years.

- I can not fail to praise God here and to make a comment about denying atheists. These intelligent, highly educated atheists with a scientific background say that the Quran itself contradicts itself because one day is one thousand years and the other day 50 000 years. But they do not read well and their arrogance suppresses their intellect. They also forget one thing. They are dealing with God, their Creator, the Creator of the universe, everything. I would almost say that what the atheists do is the same as if a banana tries to imply examples against a person. But even with that, I too short the Greatness of God. You do not have to be arrogant, you have to open up and think at a higher level. The concerning ayat is the one time about the creation of the earth and the other time about how fast the angels are traveling up and down from God to earth and back. Time is relative.

## Dajjal

According to tradition, the Dajjal is a very bad man in the service of Satan. He travels with the clouds and controls the weather and the food. In principle he has all the strings in his hands on earth. In the service of Satan, he wants as many people as possible to worship satan so that they come to hell. For that is what Satan said to God when he was removed from paradise.

The Dajjal is not mentioned in the Qur'an. But there is no smoke without fire. This end-time person is not mentioned in the Qur'an, but in view of the latest developments in the world, we will, willingly or not, rely on a system of the one-eyed dajjal. The traditions and the similarities with the Christian antichrist (no one can buy or sell anything without his sign) point that direction.

Just look around you. Genetically modified food, seeds that you can plant only once, autonomous machines (systems, vehicles), weather control, all power is centralized.

In addition to a person, the dajjal can also be a system, whether or not in combination with artificial intelligence, the so-called 'AI'. The technology is advancing rapidly and the singularity point, the point

that technology is so far that it fuses with humans, in combination with all sorts of techniques such as nanotechnology, quantum computers and biochemistry, comes closer.

In short, even though the Dajjal does not appear in the Koran. It is quite possible that such a person can still be on the scene. From history we know that many powerful tyrants have existed and have ruled. Imagine a tyrant with the technology of tomorrow ... And so we just read that Jesus almost certainly comes back. It does not come for nothing. So the conclusion can be drawn that Jesus and the Dajjal will almost certainly appear on the scene. The Mahdi is unlikely.

## Child weddings

In some Arab countries and disadvantaged areas in Muslim countries, marriages between older men and younger girls sometimes occur. These sick pedophile people practice their practices on the hadith. In various hadith it says that the Prophet married a 6-year-old or 9-year-old orphan. As we know, the hadith is totally unreliable. The most plausible is that pedophiles in the past have made texts to marry a child and it was then brought to the illiterate gullible population as "The prophet did it too, so is it right".

With this, this chapter is done but some backward hadith moslms and atheists will nevertheless stay with the Prophet marrying a child. Anyway, suppose that a hadith claims this, there is still uncertainty about the ages mentioned because:

**A.** In the past, ages were not kept as well as now. There were no city registers and celebrating birthdays was not an issue for the majority of mankind. Based on this fact you can never say that the Prophet married a 6- or 9-year-old or with a 20-year-old or with a 40-year or any age.

**B.** It may also have been a use in the then Arabia that the age of a girl was only counted after the first menstruation. Slave traders or marriages could then say about a girl who had her first menstruation "Look, she is only 0 years old, completely new" and so negotiate more materials or cattle. In this example, the 6-year-old or 9-year-old woman of the Prophet would have been at least between 18 and 21 years old.

So it is absolutely not a certain fact that the Prophet married a child. Whether the proponents or critics want it or not. The critics in the Western world must realize that child marriage was normal throughout the world and in medieval Europe. In order to point to alleged customs in the Middle Ages in Arabia, the beam cannot be seen in person's eye.

In conclusion, we can say that child marriage is not an Islamic use and that it is unlikely that the Prophet married a child.



## Smaller subjects

### 18.1 How strict is God?

Some people think that God is very strict and punishes you for the least and slightest sin. That is not true. God is the most Forgiving and the Most Righteous.

The 10x and 1x rule:

*6:160 He who comes with good deeds, the deeds are multiplied by 10. Whoever comes with bad deeds gets just as much punishment.*

You read it correctly, all good deeds are multiplied by 10 and all bad deeds by 1. However, one has to take into account that there are gradations in good and bad deeds. For example, the best good deed can be worth 10 points, x 10 is 100 points. For example, the worst act may also be worth 10 (negative) points, x 1 is only 10 points negative.  $100 - 10 = 90$ . You keep 90 net positive points. On the outcome you see how forgiving God is.

In addition to this 10x and 1x line, God states in the Qur'an that He forgives most of our sins.

So well considered, God is not only Righteous, but He really loves us and is the most Forgiving. It is therefore very difficult to get into hell. You must have been a very bad person to get into hell. When you get there you have probably been such a bad person, such cruel acts committed against your fellow man, against animals - and wronged God that you deserve that punishment.

God has a fair custom solution for everyone. For believers but also for unbelievers. Just as there are gradations in paradise, there are also gradations in hell. We can read and derive that from the Qur'an. For example, someone who has fictitious 1000 sin points does not get the same punishment as someone who has 10 issues. That is illogical and

not fair.

## 18.2 Call to prayer

The call to prayer, the azaan, originated in a time when there were no time-indicators such as clocks. Nowadays we can see when the time comes for a prayer on the time-indicators.

There is no command in the Qur'an for the azaan. We can do without. For the enthusiasts there is an improvement point, the volume. The volume must be kept so low that people do not suffer from it and the different calls do not disturb each other either.

## 18.3 Beard, clothing men

If Islam had come to the Chinese at the time, the imams of today would wear Chinese fashion from the 7th century. Or if Islam had come to the Scots at the time, the imams of today wore a kilt without underwear.

This way you can continue to reason. Imams with a feather on the head, imams with Viking horns or an imam in cowboy clothes. The imams and believing men and women of today would then say that this is sunnah because the fictional prophet also bore it.

Many Muslims dress to the pre-Islamic culture fashion more than 1500 years ago and think that this is Islamic clothing. Unfortunately, it is not Islamic but practical clothing suitable for the desert with the clothing options of the time.

The same philosophy applies to the beard. Many pious Muslims and clerics think that it is a sunnah (a use of the prophet but not compulsory) if you grow a long beard. What is the reliability of the sunnah based on the unreliable hadith? Why should we stick to it? It is not in the Quran, God does not tell us and it does not yield points. At that time, both the greatest disbelievers and the faithful bore a beard, simply because the shaving and haircutting possibilities were much more limited at that time. So leaving a (long) beard because it would be Islamic has no valid reasons.

Also the head covering that some pious men tend to wear is so absurd and testifies to not being able to think independently. Covering the head is not prescribed anywhere in the Qur'an. If you think logically you will understand that it mainly comes from the use to protect the

head against the sun. Another reason is that some men become bald and might be ashamed of their bald head and thus cover up to God and the public. There are different types of head cover;

- The soft head covering the entire head,
- The hard and high head covering
- The little tight head covering that just covers the head.

The first cover covering the entire head is mainly worn in the desert as protection from the sun. It is not obligatory according to God. The second, the hard and high head covering is worn by clergy and pastors. For example, it has the same function as a police cap, a headdress of an Indian chief or the crown of a king. It is actually something completely ridiculous. Especially when you look at the moments when it is worn. For example in the mosque where there is no rain or sun.

In Christianity they go further and make themselves ridiculous with their mites of half a meter high. They can just as well participate in a fancy dress party or put on a clown suit.

The third, the small tight head covering that is often worn when praying, is not Islamic either. Whether you have hair or are bald, it does not show disrespect to God if you do not wear it. This type of head covering can also be found in Christianity and Judaism. With Catholic Christian clergy it is even smaller and with the Jews it is minimal, a yarmulke. Well, God will be very happy about that ... yes it does, especially a first class ticket to heaven.

Once I asked a clergyman who works for the Ministry of Religious Affairs in Turkey why he was wearing a big hat in his room. He replied that it was an insult to the time when he talked to me about it ... He could not think of something sensible.

#### 18.4 Mevlüt (celebration or commemoration of special events)

The so-called mevlüt has a long history. Originally it was meant to honor the birthday of the last prophet. In the course of time this mevlüt has been extended to festivities at the circumcision, return of the hajj, commemorating a deceased person etc. These celebrations often go with great investments in splendor and especially food. From social pressure and sometimes before the show, families sometimes invest capitals in the party. Some people cannot sometimes miss that money, borrow money or sell a piece of land to pay for it. You guessed

what I want to say; this is pagan and has nothing to do with Islam! In fact, it is against Islam. In the case of circumcision it is three-fold sin: 1 circumcision is not prescribed in Islam, 2 it is celebrated and 3 is wasteful. Absurd!

In the case of the deceased, it is also pointless and wasteful. The deceased lived his life and made his decisions. His or her book is closed. He or she will not score points if others give a dinner.

Muslims must say goodbye to this anti-Islamic and anti-human habit very quickly. Of course people will not do this quickly because of the social pressure, so here is a task for the imams.

## 18.5 Architecture of Mosques, minarets

There is no prescription to build a mosque in a particular style. It would be strange if the Creator of the universe would prefer a round mosque to a square. Or would prefer a gigantic mosque with high minarets over a small mosque without minarets. What I want to say is that a mosque can best fit into the architectural landscape in another country. What I do not want to say is that it cannot be artful as long as there is no exaggeration and extravagance.

## 18.6 How fasting is meant

The Koran says that we must fast from about sunrise to sunset. This ayat was sent to Saudi Arabia 1400 years ago at a time when there were no time givers. Also, the sunrises and sunsets are at the same times all year round. Namely at 6:00 a.m. and around 6:00 p.m. below. So someone who wants to tell you to fast for 12 hours will describe the times of sunrise and sunset. So 12 hours, all year round and all over the world.

So most Muslims in countries farther from the equator also fast wrong. On a day they sometimes fast for 17 to 20 hours. Even worse is the time of breaking the fast (with a date of course, because that yields so-called 1000 savap points). That can sometimes be 11 pm at night! Before you have eaten, recovered a little and go to sleep, it is 1 pm or 2 pm. Then a few hours sleep and get up again at 3 pm for the sahur (food before sunrise) because it is thought that savap, points with God if you then just eat quickly. In Islamic countries one is even woken up with drums for this. This is total madness! Your whole rhythm and health is turned upside down. You still have to work during the day.

You walk around like a zombie.

This is not the way fasting is intended and is not healthy.

Fasting is healthy but if you do it the right way:

- 12 hours of fasting a day,
- Break around 6 p.m.,
- Same times throughout the year,
- Agree a fixed fast month),
- Maintain the same times all over the world,
- Don't wake up for sahur,
- Fasting is healthy, everyone should try to fast as well as they can. Medicine users can fast in consultation with their doctor. Eating or drinking a few bites because of their medicines won't hurt,
- For people in heavy circumstances in warm countries, drinking water is no objection to preventing drying out,
- Water contains no calories, nowhere in the Koran does it state that you are not allowed to drink water during fasting. As required, you can drink water without exaggeration,
- Smoking is independent of fasting, has no influence on fasting.

## 18.7 Denominations of God

A prophet has been sent to every nation in the history of mankind. The many prophets have designated the one God with a name in different languages. The Muslims call him Allah, Arab Christians also call God Allah as the Arab Muslims. European Christians call God God. So it is also logical that in other parts of the world, other religions and peoples have God identified in their own language. This God that probably means the one God is called in the other people's languages Manitou, Tanru, Tengri, Vishnu or Buddha (Buddha could also have been a prophet). Other names but the same God. It is true that afterwards people have consciously or unconsciously changed their taught religion. An example of this are the Christians who regard God as a trinity.

## 18.8 Men and women together in mosque

In the Quran there is nothing about a prohibition that women and men may not be together in a mosque. That is why some believe that the mixed mosque visit should not be a problem. This requires a closer consideration. In mosques there is mainly praying and teaching. While praying you need to concentrate and dedicate yourself completely to prayer and God. If during the prayer a person of the opposite sex bows in front of you and goes on the ground, that probably does not benefit your concentration. I am not in favor of mixed prayer. Getting mixed lessons and hearing speeches is no problem.

## 18.9 Praying and praying towards Kabaa

The physical prayer is described in the Qur'an. The ways, the times. People who say they do not have time for prayer often do other things that are sometimes more meaningless or repetitive than prayer. Like playing games on the computer for hours or running for hours or another sport. This while the complete daily prayer costs a maximum of half an hour per day. In addition to devoting to God, you also practice sports, mental and physical therapy and physiotherapy with prayer!

For God it does not matter in principle what direction we pray because in Sura 2: 142 God says that both the east and the west are his. But in 2: 144 he records the kabaa in Mecca as praying direction. Why?

In addition to a reason that we cannot describe with certainty, praying to Mecca has at least one logical reason; order, to prevent ambiguity and chaos. If everyone prays in one direction, there will be no disagreement where you have to pray and people will also be prevented from praying to each other.

The atheistic spotters who say that when you pray exactly on the other side of the world in relation to the kabaa you then pray with your back against the kabaa at the same time, are effortlessly settled by God on their spot. Because, suppose you are praying in that opposite place. What is that place if you are in that place? That is somewhere in the South Pacific! In practice, it will not happen that you happen to be on a boat exactly on that precise location on the South Pacific and have to pray. The spotters themselves deal with that

statement. God is so superior.

In the end, praying to the kabaa is not always sacred. Because according to the Quran you can also pray while traveling and you may thank God if you lie on your side in bed. Is all in the Koran!

## More topics?

It is fairly easy to apply the way of thinking in this book to all allegedly mandatory customs in Islam. In everything you do and think it comes down to asking the question "Is it in the Koran?" And/or "Is it logical?" Feel free to question everything and ask absurd questions. Asking questions is not a sin. An example of an absurd question is, for example, the question who made God. The question then will be, Who then made the fictional maker of God? And then, who then made the maker of the creator of the creator of the maker ..? As you can see, you can continue indefinitely. The answer is that God is infinite. He is the beginning and the end. You can also apply the question about God to the universe; what is outside of the universe, what is outside of it, what is outside of it, what is it outside? You see, ask questions, to question something is not a sin. On the contrary, you view the greatness of God.

In fact, you have to question everything you have learned so far about your faith. A lot of nonsense has crept into the faith like; With the left foot enter the bathroom or toilet and get off with your right foot. Did bathrooms and toilets exist 1400 years ago? Rare. To us to separate the cover of the corn.





## The factor atheists and their criticism of science in the Qur'an

Since atheists, at first sight, have very strong arguments on the (in their eyes believed) science in the Qur'an that the Muslims put forward, I will give the superior opinion on this dispute and show that both many Muslims and the atheists raise the issue not understanding well, prejudiced thinking and their arrogance beyond their minds.

Let me start with important fundamentals:

- The Qur'an does not have to show science by itself. The book as a whole is amazing enough and a proof of Divinity. Read through my chapters to understand this.
- \*\*\* The meanings of the original Arabic language are not always translatable, some words have multiple meanings (as is often the case in other languages) and meanings may have changed in the course of the 1400 (!) Years. So both Muslims and atheists simply cannot say with certainty that something is scientific or not, or that a scientific ayat in the Quran contains errors. \*\*\*
- The Koran is kept legible for all layers of the population and for longer periods. Moreover, it is a general book. If each topic were to be dealt with extensively, the Qur'an would become unmanageable.
- Tear out of the context; atheists and fundamentalists like to snatch things out of context to prove them right. In other words they use 1 sentence or ayat while this sentence is not complete with another sentence or ayat. I will also go into this later. But sometimes ayat are standing alone and have to be considered in this way. If you read the Koran well, it is often fairly clear what does and does not belong together. But the atheists use different ayat together as it suites them to show the 'mistakes' in the Quran.
- Atheists often also argue that the some scientific ayat in the Qur'an has similarities with the Bible and that it must therefore be copied

from the Bible. The natural answer to this is that the original Bible is also a book from God. God has always, including for the Quran and for the Bible, passed His words through His many messengers. Where necessary, God has restored the words to the original text. As is true in the Bible that God rested in 6 days after the creation of the earth, the Qur'an restores it to "that God did not get tired after 6 days". This example is already a proof of the Divinity of the book.

- Atheists also often argue that science in the Quran shows striking similarities with the wrong scientific insights of ancient scientists. According to the atheists, science in the Quran must therefore be copies. But this is simply not to prove because; the world at the time was not as intimately interwoven as it is now. It was not an information age like now. Peoples lived much more isolated, books were a rare thing, language barriers were bigger. In addition, man from the past was intellectually no other than the man of today. Even then you had driven, curious people with an IQ of 130 or more who described the same scientific topics earlier or in parallel to others. So the copy argument is not strong.
- Some Muslims try to look very exaggerated in non-scientific Ayat scientific Ayat. I reject this line of thought.
- Science and knowledge do not stand still either. For example, atheists point to the flood that would never have been placed because the water supply on earth would not be sufficient for such a catastrophe. But in 2015 the world discovered that 600 km below the earth's surface there is still an immense amount of water. I do not want to say that this is the missing water but I want to say that what is (scientifically) known today can be different tomorrow. You can compare this fact with other subjects such as gravity, where other theories exist that deny gravity. I want to return briefly to the Flood. It does not necessarily have to be that the flood was worldwide. It could also have been a large local flood in combination with a sea level rise.

\*\*\* Some words have multiple, completely different synonyms. The translators and interpreters can sometimes make the mistake of giving their own meaning to a word, while if you research well, you can understand that an explanation is not logical . \*\*\*

Now the subjects that atheists often try to get down:

## 1. The Quran and the 'Big Bang'

In Surah **51:47** it says: *"With power We have built the heavens. Truly, We are able to expand its scope and space "*.

In the first place, the 'Big Bang' is a (good) theory. Nobody knows with certainty how the universe originated. Muslims see in this ayat a confirmation of the 'Big Bang', Atheists find that there is no science here that refers to the 'Big Bang'. Indeed, I think that it is not possible to say here with certainty what exactly is meant. But if you think carefully, you understand that these words were deliberately chosen. Because, who is now going to happen to choose words about 'volatility, space and expansion? To be equally realistic, let these words affect you. The universe is indeed bulky! And why would God say "We are able to expand it"? (Note, With 'We' God probably meant God and his helpers, the angels).

Anyway, with all kinds of illogical links from Qur'an, Bible and archaeological science, they try to undermine the Surah. But actually they get themselves down, God can not be taken down. Read on.

The atheists use Surah **21:32** to explain the mindset of the former desert dwellers across the sky: **21:32**. *"And We have made heaven a well-protected roof."*

They connect this ayat with **51:47** (the 'Big Bang'). But this link is not logical. This ayat **21:32** must be clearly seen in conjunction with the two preceding **21:30** and **21:31** so that it is clear that it is about the earth and its atmosphere. **21:32** is clearly about an existing protective roof. These words are not chosen just like that. There is no literal science such as the ozone layer and geomagnetic radiation, but as I said earlier, the Quran would become unmanageable when everything was named and described. But where else than to the ozone layer and the earth's magnetic radiation would the ayat refer? To confirm that this ayat is just the protective roof, I give the following examples:

**13:2** *God is He who raised the heavens without pillars that you can see. Then He put Himself on the throne.*

**55:7** *God has raised the heavens and He has set the balance.*

**55:8** *Make sure you do not disturb the balance!*

**30:41** *The balance on earth and in the sea is disturbed by our own hands, God lets them taste them for a part, perhaps they turn.*

Someone with some thinking ability understands that it is just the protective roof and with almost certainty it is about the ozone layer and the earth's magnetic radiation. Raise the sky (ratio of gases / gravity), set the balance of the sky and then distort the environmental balance by human intervention. It cannot be clearer.

Just a word about the article of the atheists about **13:2** to show how they fail miserably. In **13:2** it is clear that the sky has risen without pillars. The atheists note that "How can a protective roof support on invisible pillars?". But in the concerning ayat there is nothing about invisible pillars! Only that it is elevated without pillars! What a manipulation of words!

To come back to the 'Big Bang' it was about, there is another translation from Arabic that says that God made the heavens and that He expanded them. Although I do not stick to this translation, this translation is a confirmation of an expanding universe. But as said before, the words from the first translation (volume and expansion) are already sufficient.

## 2. The function of mountains

According to the atheists, the translator of the Qur'an fails when this translator sees science in the Surah's regarding the mountains. In the Qur'an (**21:31**) it is stated, among other things, that God has placed immeasurable mountains so that they do not tremble with them (people) and it also states (**78: 6-7**) that God made the earth into a spread plane with the mountains like pins. The Muslims see science

here. The reply of atheists is that in mountainous areas there are more earthquakes and that the ayat that states that the earth is a spread surface with mountains like pegs is an ancient theory taken over by the writer of the Qur'an.

With the current knowledge about plate tectonics, I have to admit that the atheists are right. I consciously say with the current knowledge. Because indeed, the more and more the mountains (the more tectonic activity) the more and heavier the earthquakes are. The only thing I can think of is that a system without mountains might be a constant (as described in **78:20**) in earth in quake. Now this is limited to earthquakes that last from a few seconds to minutes. In the end, God knows everything better. The atheists also make a mistake by inadvertently suggesting that mountains are the cause of earthquakes. The mountains are of course not the cause. Superfluously, but of course they aim at the convection and movement of the plates that are the cause.

The next ayat, which I will tell you more about in the postscript, is my favorite about the mountains:

**27:88** *You see the mountains and think they are in their place. But they move as the clouds move. This is the work of God that makes everything solid. Undoubtedly, He is a full witness of what you do.*

### 3. The flat earth 'according to' the Quran

Let us first say that nowhere in the Qur'an states that the earth is a plane. According to the atheists, the Quran writer would hold ancient ideas of a flat earth. According to them, in surah **78:6-7** is proof of the false flat-earth theory.

**78:6-7** Have We not spread the earth as a bed? And the mountains set up like poles?

Unfortunately, the atheists make the fallacy that everything is literally meant in the Qur'an. A plain (for example, vast grasslands or deserts) does not have to be a flat earth. In that ayat it is clear that it is meant metaphorically. It is not a bed. That is why there are also Qur'anic

translations that state "resting place" or a "garment". As far as the word 'spread' is concerned, 'furnished' can also be translated. Moreover, a sphere can also be covered with a cloth. There is no clear indication in the Qur'an that the earth is flat. That is what the atheists themselves invent.

The firming function of the mountains, which are called poles, becomes completely clear when you read later in the surah. In **78:20** during the 'Final Day' the mountains will be moved and become a delusion, a mirage. During the end of the day, the earth will no longer be a resting place or bed with sturdy poles or pins.

#### 4. Embryology in the Koran?

The atheists' allegations of embryology in the Quran are so complex and depend on so many **variables** that it simply cannot be a strong rebuttal. Yes, even the Bible and ancient Greeks are again being re-enrolled just as if the whole world was connected to each other.

The accusation is that the female ovum is not mentioned and that the stages of the embryo are not correctly named.

Let me keep it shorter and more factual. Both the atheists and the 'miracle Muslims' invent too many factors. They have become more fabrications than facts.

Some facts:

- From the ayat you cannot say with certainty whether something is a male sperm or a female ovum or if it is a fertilized egg. From the beginning of the ayat you might just as well see a fertilized female egg.
- From the ayat you cannot say (criticism from atheists) that the stages are not right, that bones first and flesh / muscles are formed later. You may as well read that flesh / muscles are formed earlier (or simultaneously) than bones.

The atheists fail. They want to know it EXACTLY. But that is black / white thinking. The ayat is a global description of the creation of man. As said before, if everything were described in detail in the Quran, it would become an unmanageable thick book.

## 5. He who created everything in pairs?

Then they try it with the pairs and reproduction. To write a piece from their (Dutch Freethinkers) site:

\*

According to the Quran, everything that lives is created in pairs. But the author of the Koran has been wrong.

Surah **36:36**. Glory be to Him Who created everything in pairs of that which grows on the earth and of themselves and of that which is not yet known.

Sura **51:49**. "And We have created everything in pairs so that you may learn from it."

This is a fact that the seekers of Qur'anic science would rather not talk about, for it is clear that the Quran is a man's work; people's work from a time when the knowledge about nature was still at a premature stage. Nowadays we know that in nature there are several ways in which species can reproduce, and not just the sexual reproduction as the Quran writer suggests.

Ways of asexual reproduction (asexual reproduction) are:

- Parthogenesis. The development of unfertilized egg cells to new individuals. Walking branches, many species of aphids, beetles and snails, and even some amphibians and reptiles can reproduce in this way.
- Apomixis: Asexual reproduction in plants, which is common among ferns. Other ways in which some plants can reproduce is by means of rhizomes, tubers, shoots, etc.
- Single-celled organisms: Single-celled plants reproduce asexually because the cell splits, creating two identical individuals.

\*

No, the Author of the Qur'an has not been mistaken. How miserably fails the dogmatist thinking mind when it comes to God. God can not be mistaken. Everything that lives is created in pairs. Even single-celled, bacteria. Yes indeed, bacteria multiply mainly through cell division but recently it has been discovered that males and females also exist under bacteria and that they sometimes also reproduce in the sexual way. The result of this method is that they die.

The male/female system is the basis for reproduction. Also, there is nowhere in the Quran that there are no other ways of procreation than the sexual, on the contrary.

The creation of Adam and Jesus in the Quran is a form of asexual procreation, a possible (I do not claim parthogenesis) form of parthogenesis!

## 6. Dactyloscopy in the Koran?

The accusations of the atheists is that the Qur'an does not describe science at all about the uniqueness of the fingertips. The Quran (75: 4) states that God will even recreate the fingertips during the resurrection day. Then the question is, why would God name the unique fingertips and not the heart, eyes or head which are much more complicated?

## 7. The Qur'an and the 'atom'

In Surah **10:61** God shows that nothing escapes His attention. God tells about a very small particle and everything that is smaller and larger than 'that'. Of course, the atom is not explicitly described here, but why would someone then name materials that are smaller or larger than 'that'?

## Wine and science (and how ..!)

Then 'help' from an unexpected corner. A corner that both Muslims and atheists do not think about when science is mentioned (the discussions are about whether or not to sin).



**Surah 2:219:**

*They ask you about the wine and the gambling. Say: "In both is great use and sin for men, but sin in both is greater than their use." And they ask you what they should spend on it. Say: "What you can miss." Thus He makes His signs clear to you, hopefully you will think.*

Let us disregard gambling and focus on wine. I also omit the later order to avoid wine/alcohol.

In modern science it is known that certain substances and the alcohol in the red wine with healthy consumption have healthy properties for the human body. In case of excessive use it becomes harmful to both the body and social. Now, the Qur'an also explains that exactly!

Namely that it has good properties but also harmful properties which are greater than the good ones. The moderate use is also indicated as it is advised not to spend more than what you can miss.

Why would God say that wine has good qualities? Just the fun? No, logically also physically. For the atheists among us, was medical science so advanced at the time? Was there medical equipment?

Medical researches? Cardiologists?

Of course, there is still no literal science in the Qur'an. It does not say that alcohol is bad or good for the body. No, it is much more graceful that the bad qualities are greater than the good ones. This writing style is a way to describe science. If you see the ayat about the other 'science ayat' in the light of this writing style then there is almost no doubt that science is described in the Qur'an.



## Epilogue and ode to God

There is no doubt that the Quran is of Divine origin. I will show it to you.

There is the well-known ayat (51:47) who seems to refer to the 'Big Bang' theory. The 'Big Bang' is a theory that states that the universe originated from a singularity and is expanding further and further. In that ayat we read *"We created the universe and We widen it"*. There are also other translations where dilating is translated as 'extended' or 'spacious'. Surah 6:101 makes it clear that everything came into existence from a singularity or from nothing when it is stated that *"We created the heavens and the earth out of nothing"*. A normal person would wonder why someone would write that something is created out of nothing. But there is still clear ayat that cannot be doubted that it describes certain scientific things.

Like the ayat (21:32) which states *"We made the sky a protective ceiling"*.

What else should it refer to than the ozone layer and the earth's magnetic rays?

If I would like to talk more about the starry sky, I think the ayat who refer to the different dimensions is also very impressive. In that ayat the seven heavens are connected to each other and the heavens closest to us are filled with stars ... So, the other six heavens are standing and have no stars. God also asks if you just want to look around and see if you see disturbances or cracks in the sky to which He later answers that this is not the case ... So, the other 6 heavens are beyond heaven with stars but are yet next to us but we cannot see them. I do not mention ayat numbers because the ayat describing this phenomenon are scattered about the Qur'an and I leave the challenge to you.

In the chapters of Evolution, Environment & Economy I have already shown strong references to evolutionary and environmental facts. In the Factor Atheists chapter, the science of wine / alcohol was epic. I want to show Ayat that you cannot doubt that they are not non-scientific. In ayat 27:88. Not 75%, not 90% but 100% clear references to scientific facts.

This ayat relates to geology, specifically plate tectonics and mountain formation. Below 3 ayat that show that mountains are like clouds. Because they are. Like clouds, they appear, change shape, move and disappear. Superb. What should these ayat refer to if it is not plate tectonics and mountain formation? Would a man have written this? Would the prophet feel like inventing a verse or would the prophet know that mountains move and change in a process of millions of years? God is so intelligent, in order to prevent the Quran from becoming unmanageable, he gives the striking example of the clouds in a fascinating artistic way!

**24:43** *Do you not you see that God is drawing out clouds and gathering them together. You see that rain comes out of here. From that heaven, from those mountains, He lets hail descend. He touches the one he wishes to touch and keeps them far from whom He wants to keep them far. The glare (lightning) almost dazzles them.*

**27:88** *You see the mountains and think they are in their place. But they move as the clouds move. This is the work of God that makes everything solid. Undoubtedly, He is a full witness of what you do.*

**55:24** *The ships that rise up as great mountains at sea are His.*

The ayat **27:88** is really a beauty but **55:24** also gives a bonus. It is a reference to specific mass and the law of Archimedes. Just like the ships sail at sea, the mountains sail on softer material but with a larger specific mass.

Then comes another sign of the Intelligence of the Author in **37:11** and **40:57**. In **37:11** God asks a question after which many pages come across other topics and suddenly in **40:57** He gives the answer to the question. Read and wonder!

**37:11** *Ask them now !; are they (the heavens) more difficult to create or the man whom We have created? Undoubtedly we have created them from a clay.*

*And then, hundreds of ayat later ... (!)*

**40:57** *Of course (!) The creation of the heavens is a greater thing than*

*the creation of man. But most people do not know that.*

And similar things take place throughout the Qur'an. Considering the entire Quran, not a person who can write such a book.

With the passing of time, man is gaining more and more knowledge. In ancient Arabia there were few trees and few leaves. In the modern era it has been discovered that there are more stars in the universe than there are grains of sand on earth. Later it was discovered that there are more leaves on earth than there are grains of sand. See the sheet in **6:59**, it is no coincidence that it is mentioned.

**6:59** *The keys of the unknown are with God. Outside of him, no one knows about that (the unknown). He knows everything that is on earth and in the sea. There is not a single leaf beyond his knowledge. He knows every particle in the darkness. Wet and dry, whatever it is, everything is in a clear book.*

Why would someone in the almost treeless desert give the example of the leaf instead of the grain of sand?

In **6:128** God tells what some people are doing. In the next 3 ayat the gravity and space travel of the jinn (in the current era known as UFOs / ghosts etc.) and people is mentioned. Say it yourself. Did the prophet think in the 7th century that there would be people in heaven and look behind him?

**6:128** *When God has gathered them all, He says; "Jinn people! You have very much interfered with the people. "The (bad) human friends of them (the jinn) say" We have used each other and we have come to an end of the time you gave us. "God commands "Outside of those He desires, the fire will be the place where you will stay forever." God is undoubtedly wise, knowing.*

(So some people work together with Jinn/'aliens'.)

**29:22** *You are neither on earth nor in heaven to do anything against God. You will not find another friend and helper than God.*

**34:9** *Do they not look for themselves and behind them in heaven and on earth? If We want to let them sink into the ground or We will drop them from heaven on them. Undoubtedly there are signs here for every*

*servant who addresses God.*

**55:33** *Jinn and people! If you can release yourself from the earth and the heavens and can go, go. Only with a great force you can escape.*

Artful ayat. Look at the beauty. Man thinks that the resurrection of man is impossible, but it is no more difficult for God than the first creation according to **36:79**. Then in **75:4** God says that He will even restore the fingertips in their original state. Why would He go for the example of the fingertips? An eye, head or heart is much more impressive? Of course, because every person has a different fingerprint. God wants to show that the Qur'an is Divine.

**7:57** *We send the wind as a message for the rain. Then we send these clouds to a dead country. We let the water come down and let different fruits sprout. That is how We also resurrect the dead. Maybe you learn from this.*

**36:77** *Does not man see that We have created him from a flood? And then you see that they have suddenly become enemies (of Us).*

**36:78** *Forfeit their own creation, they try to show examples against Us and (say) "Who will bring these faded bones to life?"*

**36:79** *Say: Whoever created them the first time will bring them to life. Because He knows all the ways to create.*

**41:39** *That you see the dried-out surface of the earth is also a sign of God. When we let water come down it comes to life. Whoever brings that life will also bring the dead to life. He can do everything.*

**75:3** *Does man think that We cannot bring his bones together?*

**75:4** *Yes, we are in a position to restore even his fingertips to their original state.*

In **50:38** God not only straightened what was changed in the Bible but also shows humor. The Bible states that God created the earth in 6 days and then rested. Are you crazy, resting? A God who rests? In a conversation a trained Jehovah gave as a rebuttal that rest should actually read as going aside or withdrawing. A bad attempt. God is also

not going aside. God is always online and knows about everything. Well, how many proofs do you have to have?

**50:38** *We created the heavens, the surface and what is in between them in 6 days. No fatigue happened to Us.*

The Us and We mentioned in the Qur'an are God and His angels.

**I**n conclusion

The most intelligent and educated people ask these questions: If God is so omnipotent, why did not God save us from every misery and put us right in paradise? If he is so omnipotent, why did not God make all the bad people good and put them right in paradise?

The answer is obvious. God did not make robots or zombies. He has created independent, intelligent and high beings who can decide for themselves. Otherwise the creation of man as a person did not make sense.

In addition to independent beings, everyone is able to distinguish good and bad, despite personal qualities, despite biochemical factors. Other intellectuals say that God has given us all kinds of diseases like cancer, parasites, viruses. A good God would not do that, so they say that if there is a God He must be a sadist or not at all Almighty or that He just does not exist. The answer to this is again that God created autonomous beings and not robots or zombies. We are special. Very special. When man was created, even the angels had to bow before us, is in the Qur'an. We knew more things than the angels, is in the Qur'an. This is proof that we are very special. In knowledge, skill, power, independence. This is what matters and this is the answer. We come after God and before the angels! The rest is actually unimportant, does not matter but to clarify some more I will tell you something. Because we (our ancestors Adam and Eve) did not listen to God, we were sent out of paradise. Is that God's fault? No. We did not listen to God on earth and we still do not listen. Is it God's fault that we have come to earth with all its hardships and sicknesses? No. There are abnormalities, illnesses, there is cancer, yes, but abnormalities, many diseases and cancers are due to man. Smoking, pollution in our food and in our environment, selfish short term economies. Human degradation (our creation was perfect) due to pollution in our

environment and therefore more susceptible to all kinds of diseases. Is not that right? Is it not the case that most misery comes and has come through man? Is it not man who imports water in bottles to a water-rich land ten thousand kilometers further and so pollutes the environment only because of egoism, because it is cheap and profitable? Is it not man who produces fresh mountain air in cans for people in dirty cities, but with their production and transport still sinks further into pollution and disturbs the balance?

But then again, it is not just misery on this planet. Many people are just healthy and happy.

Life on this planet could be so good. We must realize who we are, that we are only briefly on earth. We must get rid of all the fables surrounding our faith. Our faith is not difficult at all. On the contrary. When we listen to God, all people will lead a comfortable life on earth, without fears. There will be virtually no diseases. After our death we are created again, our souls get a new perfect body free of all imperfections. Then we are judged. Then the real eternal life begins. In the eternal paradise with its gradations ... in the intermediate area indefinitely awaiting access to paradise or in eternal hell with its gradations.

The decision is entirely up to you.